

Flease mound

g. Amadone Mar. 28, 1943 2

THE FLOOD CHRONICLE

The flood year, as depicted in the book of Genesis, is represented by a definitely outlined calendar. This is constructed in two kinds of time-solar and lunar -- and it also conforms to known positions of both sun and moon. With modern scholarship uncertainty still enters into the problem of tying the flood to a recognized chronological outline on But with reference to the flood calendar itself, it can be shown, that although different conclusions have repeatedly been drawn, yet on the contrary it would appear that we have in Genesis seven and eight the simplest form of lunar calendar, and one upon which the solar year also is planted.

years of andrealying diressery have produced continue

Flee

drut 3

\$ 323

least

3

92

3

ucuts

due

200

rachites

5

Car

à

Cart

the

Questions relating to the authorship of the book of Genesis are partly answered in the book itself. The fact that the months are numbered and not designated by name--not even the ancient Canaanite names of the month appear in the text -- speaks for an early writer. Furthermore, it can be demonstrated that in the flood record the lunar numbers begin in the autumn with the month later denominated "seventh" in biblical history. Philo, Josephus, and the and scholarship cral Talmud each supports this conclusion. And additional proof that the flood year began in the autumn is forthcoming from instances in Genesis that relate the year to agricultural operations. (Cf. 3rd par. under 3.) But after the exodus, and at least until the establishment of the monarchy, the year was reckoned from the spring (Ex. 12:2).

= this And a second, answer to this question of authorship points the finger at in the desert of William ue truthe Moses as the early author required, because he was an early writer. In the t's glated that forty years during which Moses was leader of Israel, he wrote several books-writings to which Jesus Himself refers (John 5:47). The second law, as recorded in Deuteronomy, was written by Moses, and this book was placed in the side of the ark of the covenant (Deut. 31:24-26). Moses also made a register of the wilderness episodes, and in this memorial he was commanded to record the battle with Amalek and the injunction of the Lord concerning him (Ex. 17:14). After the giving of the law on mount Sinai, additional judgments and precepts were spoken, and all of these were transcribed by Moses before the people made their covenant with Jahweh (Ex. 24:4).

6

3

4

Moses also wrote songs. One is recorded which the people sang after they crossed the Red Sea (Exodus 15), and another, which Moses wrote just before his death (Deuteronomy 32). We also have his inspired blessing upon the tribes.

It is the chronology in Genesis that calls for an early writer--certainly not a late one. And it therefore some consistent to lay the authorship. at the deer of Moses, ancient scholer and prophet, even though modern critimarche cism assigns this book to early centuries of the monarchy. I For in this period the people of Israel were not only numbering their calendar months from the spring, but they also left on record old Canaanite names of the months as witness. Three of these agricultural names are mentioned in the reign of Solomon -- Zif, Bul, and Ethanim (1 Kings 6:1,38; 8:2). Zif, signifying the brightness of flowers, Bul, meaning showers of rain, and Ethanim, corresponding to perennial rivers, are appropriate names for the second, eighth, and seventh months respectively. Cf. Gesenius. There names are repeatedly found in Syrian inscriptions. 1-2 The inference is therefore obvious that an author or redactor living in the early period of the monarchy, in outlining flood chronology, would necessarily employ the current names of the months in his own time; and, furthermore, would inevitably number the months from the spring--a Jewish cal-

endar custom which has continued from the exodus even to the present day. But these calendaric features are contrary to Genesis chronology, as will

¹ Driver, S.R., "An Introduction to the Literature of the Old Testament," p. 125. Seventh edition. New York, 1898.

1 Wilh. Dr. Freih. V. Landau, Beiträge zur altertumsbunde des arient, II und III. Seipzig. 1905. Insert

7

was written, and in it a record of our earliest biblical calendar was placed.

be shown in the progress of the study. Therefore, Mones in the description Israelite bondage in Egypt Horeb, in the interval between this in Pharaoh s court and the exodus, represents about the only period that would supply a current calendar in harmony with the chronological description of the flood. Ten years neural pass before Israel

In the ninetieth psalm, Moses is reminiscent at seventy years of age. He looks back a thousand years, speaking of "yesterday -- a watch in the night." He thinks of the flood as a judgment from God, pleading with erring children your del of men to return. WIt was centuries after the flood that the book of Genesis Standing importance to the genesis of motone enterinering int in possibly existence of an exceedingly early calendaric record that was measurily conif not based upon more ancient tobulation. structed by calculation of the new moon instead of by actual observation. And of equal importance is the fact that the flood calendar offers proof in itself for its own particular details of construction, as will later be demonstrated.

It seems exceptional that a calendar of so great authority should lie recorded almost in the opening pages of Scripture, and yet its intrinsic merit go unrecognized. Doubtless one reason for this oversight is the repeated insistence that the 150-day period of prevailing flood waters represents five consecutive 30-day calendar months; that this arrangement of time was solar, and that it could not therefore belong to later Jewish cycles. Then the conclusion has commonly been drawn that the Noachian age employed this length while of month; that Noah was in the ark he could not see the new moon on account of rain and fog, and that consequently he calculated the whole period of the

2 "During the earliest period Egypt was unacquainted with true writing. In the many hundreds of graves from this era not the slightest trace of any sort of script has ever been discovered." -- Steindorff, George, and Seele, Keith C., When Egypt Ruled the East, p. 116. Chicago, 1942.

"No written records have been found at Jericho itself. . "--Garstang, John, and Garstang, J.B.E., The Story of Jericho, p. 68. London, 1940.

3 The first dynasty of Babylon with its records of Ammizaduga comes after 2000 B.C.

Escapes fro boudage; for mones was aughty when he store before Flarcoli

bo show Severity rosent rosent n locks f of near Insert

was written, and in it a record of our earliest biblical calendar was placed. The decades which Moses spent in the desert of Midian were therefore rich in knowledge to students of chronology. Before the flood and for some time after, there had been no books; but there were teachers whose existence was measured by centuries. Methuselah knew Adam for nearly two hundred and fifty years, and Noah knew the family in which Abraham was reared. By this means knowledge was extended at a time when books had not yet been written. And one of the earliest testimonies concerning this marvelous period comes from have must the hand of one who lived many centuries later. This fact alone is of outstanding importance to the genesis of ancient calendation, for it reveals the possibly existence of an exceedingly early calendaric record that was necessary ala conif not based upon more ancient tabulation. structed by calculation of the new moon instead of by actual observation. And of equal importance is the fact that the flood calender offers proof in itself for its own particular details of construction, as will later be demonstrated.

It seems exceptional that a calendar of so great authority should lie recorded almost in the opening pages of Scripture, and yet its intrinsic merit go unrecognized. Doubtless one reason for this oversight is the repeated insistence that the 150-day period of prevailing flood waters represents five consecutive 30-day calendar months; that this arrangement of time was solar, and that it could not therefore belong to later Jewish cycles. Then the conclusion has commonly been drawn that the Noachian age employed this length while of month; that,Noah was in the ark he could not see the new moon on account of rain and fog, and that consequently he calculated the whole period of the

² "During the earliest period Egypt was unacquainted with true writing. In the many hundreds of graves from this era not the slightest trace of any sort of script has ever been discovered."--Steindorff, George, and Seele, Keith C., When Egypt Ruled the East, p. 116. Chicago, 1942.

"No written records have been found at Jericho itself. ."--Garstang, John, and Garstang, J.B.E., The Story of Jericho, p. 68. London, 1940.

3 The first dynasty of Babylon with its records of Ammizaduga comes after 2000 B.C.

deluge on a 30-day month basis. However, this common but questionable view is not accepted by all.⁴

And in addition, a second erroneous hypothesis has complicated the problem of ancient Jewish time, namely, the insistence that the earliest Jewish dates were entirely based upon observation of the new moon, and that not until after the post-exilic period did calculation come into the reckoning. Alumi and derely and This assumption would place early calendation upon an empirical basis, in sup- fraction. port of which there is not to be found a sufficient number of early Jewish records, such as have come down from the first dynasty of Babylon. In the Jaideale Babylonian tablets and documents, long buried in the earth, lies the chronological evidence that binds together historical events in the millennium preceding the time of Moses, although the result is as yet a sort of continually varying chronology. And unless, for example, the successive observations of the planet Vonus during the relen of insizadues can be tied to a parallol chronological series, these colectial phonomena senat fir the actual limits of inte positode

10

yet

Some there is always the possibility that an unusual and seldom-repeating relationship of sun, moon and planets will become so joined to events entry putablee record that a obromological outline is thereby established. This is an indis, orun to historical research, which, for some time has been interned in the field of astronomy and calendaric study in addition to its field of textual oriticism and interprotation. The vital importance of technical science to the genesis of history and chronology has been well put by Edward Sachaus

"No number in any chronological table can be considered correct, so long as it is not proved by computation to be so, and even in the simplest historical narrative the editor and translator may most lamentably go astray in his interpretation, if there is something wrong with his method of research." 5

⁴ Schiaparelli, G., "Astronomy in the Old Testament," pp. 126,127. Oxford, 1905. Schwarz, Adolf, "Der Judische Kalendar," p. 8. Breslau, 1872.

⁵ Albiruni, "The Chronology of Ancient Nations," Preface, p. vi. Tr. Sachau. London, 1879.

11 There are only a few calendar dates in the Bible--less than a hundred altogether. And these are not evenly distributed, some periods being marked by a comparatively larger number than others. In the account of the flood and exodus, in the prophecy of Ezekiel, the post-exilic books, and the gospels, there is a sufficient number of time divisions to each period to frame the form of calendar employed. It can be demonstrated that these various calendars are all based upon the lunar month, but that they principally differ in one respect -- the time of beginning the civil year. Under the administration of Moses, current time--both civil and religious--was reckoned from the first month.⁶ And that this month was lungr is shown from the fact that the day ended at evening, when levitical uncleanness ceased. This ancient chronicle covers a little more than two nineteen-year cycles, that is, to the conquest of Canaan. Biblical history does not note any further calendaric change until the time of the kings, under whose reigns there are very few dates, but instead, # long series of king lists, which, for the ministry of Judah, began the regnal year in the autumn. With the Israelite kingdom, howmay have been ever, another beginning for the king's reign was ordained, which was obriousty based upon Egyptian influence over Jereboam, when, in the tenth century B.C., the Egyptian seventh month approximately coincided with the Jewish eighth.

A departure occurs in Ezekiel's chronology, which is characterized by a large number of captivity dates, planted upon a "regnal" year representing both the period of the captivity and also that of the captive king. With possibly one exception,⁹ Ezekiel's dates all denote civil events; but, con-

6 "This month [Abib] shall be unto you the beginning of months: it shall be the first month of the year to you." Exodus 12:2.

For example: The battle of Carchemish occurred in the fourth of Jehoiakim at the time of the Nile flood (Jer.46:2,7). In Jer.36:9, it was the fifth of Jehoiakim in the ninth month. The regnal year therefore changed in Tishri.

⁶ In 200 years or more back from 747 B.C., 1 Thoth would advance to the last gf April--to a position nearly a month later than the average 1 Nisan.

" Ezekiel 40:1, where "tenth day of the month" doubtless = the day of atonement. trary to the interpretation of some, must necessarily begin in Tishri, or else they do not conform to the chronological outline of his period. The civil dates of Nehemiah and Esra are outstanding because they begin the reign Deriver Law (Mourthe Beginning Jemich colendar of storeign king (Artaxerxes I)) in the autumn-a fact recognized by many chronologers.¹⁰ On the other hand, the regnal year of Darius I is counted from Nisan by the prophets Haggai and Zechariah.¹¹

13

And earliest of all ancient reckonings is actual point of time, the pentateuchal flood chronicle takes its place with the various forms of calendars is actual point of time, just described. Aft belongs to the most primitive period of biblical history-the patriarchal age; but the large number of dates that occur in the description of the flood at once give it an historical character. This is of untold interest and importance to chronology. The analysis continues as follows:

1. Calendars at Moses disposal. 2. Season for beginning the flood. 3. Description of the flood calendar. 4. Significant importance of the flood calculation.

1. Calendars at Moses' Disposal. For the first forty years of Moses' life, he was educated as an Egyptian, and was known as such (Ex. 2:19). Hence it is consistent to look to ancient Egypt for a possible source of the calendar he would employ. Neugebauer describes the ancient Egyptian calendaric system as a "peaceful coexistence of different methods of defining time moments and time intervals in different ways on different occasions." ¹² He further explains that in the days of unified Egypt, there were in use a real lunar calendar, and for practical needs a schematic calendar of 12 months of 30 days each, regardless of the moon's course. He goes on to say that "no one was able to predict exactly the moon's behaviour, and a schematic calendar was

10 In Neh. 1:1 and 2:1, the Persian year does not change between the ninth month Chisleu and the subsequent first month Nisan. It must therefore have changed in Tishri, according to Nehemiah's calendar.

II In Hag. 1:1 and Zoch 1:7, the Persian year does not change between the sixth and eleventh months. It must therefore have changed in Nisan. ¹² Neugebauer, 0., "Origin of the Egyptian Calendar," <u>Journal of Near Eastern</u> Studies, Vol. I, No. 4, p. 402. October, 1942. therefore quite necessary wherever economic life demanded regularity and simplicity." ¹³ And he further and rightly insists that "only a highly developed theoretical astronomy is able to determine the further course of a lunar calendar;" and that "not before the very last centuries of Babylonian history was a satisfactory treatment of the sun and moon developed sufficiently

LTOOR ORTOHOR

accurate to predict the length of the lunar months for an appreciable time in the future."14

These conclusions of Dr. Neugebauer are significant. Among others in this field of research, he mentions the investigations of Kugler, Brugsch, Winlock, Thureau-Dangin, Borchardt. But returning new to Moses in the desert of Her-Mucrow, Moses, eb. Although an ancient Jewish scholar, he was primarily "learned in all the wisdom of the Egyptians" (Acts 7:22). And, as an Egyptian wise man and heir to the throne of Egypt, he was doubtless also learned in early Babylonian astronomy relating to observation of the moon and planets. For in his day in-Mose the throne between Egypt and Babylon was frequent, and the caravans were constantly passing to and fro. It was a "goodly Babylonish garment" that Achan pilfered at the fall of Jericho.

In any event, Moses must have had at his disposal the two recognized caldars of Egypt upon which to plant his series of dates pertaining to the flood year. Under the influence of the divine Spirit, he alcount back many centuries in time, and ties his record to the very simplest form of a lunar calender. He does not employ the economic Egyptian calendar with its wandering 50-day month. Neither does he make use of the empirical observations of Babylonian astronomy--he does not even introduce the ancient names of the Babylonian months.¹⁵ He does instead number his months, after the manner of both

13 Idem. p. 400.

14 Idem.

15 "Wenn auch die Namen der Monate vor - 2400 nicht dieselben waren, wie die bekamten spateren (Nisan etc.), der erste Monat des Jahres begann doch etwa 8 Tage nach dem Aequinox."--Schoch, Karl, Planeten-Tafeln fur Jedermann, p. XXXIX. Berlin-Pankow, 1927.

nablarow

Isreel and Egypt in his own day, and fixes their length by a series of parallel periods and weeks. And he thereby establishes both lunar and solar constants relating (1) to the length of the lunar month and year, and (2) to the length of the solar or tropical year.

With reference to flood history, it does not appear to be known whether Sources the writer of Genesis had sacred records, such as had Ezra, for exemple, by alteringhe the records in genesis are strolsen of as "books" which to construct a chronicle, Details in chronology had very probably been handed down from Shem direct to Abram, whom the son of Noah must have known 1 Junda. Schredes for at least seventy-five years in Haran. And, as a little child, Moses no doubt heard the history of the patriarchs at his mother's knee. In the Egypand the flood tian court, the Babylonian traditions of creation may have been frequently rehearsed; they had been written in clay long before Moses fled into Midian. But even so, it does not yet seem possible to furnish convincing evidence or any other writes that Moses composed the book of Genesis and constructed its chronology from any other source than that supplied by the Angel with whom he conversed. And thus the Bible gives to history an inspired calendar of about the earliest event known.

Those who do not credit Moses with the authorship of Genesis, and assign the writing to a later period, have to assume that the flood calendar was calculated over a much longer time than implied in this study. The important point is, however, that the new moons in Genesis must have been computed instead of being based upon written recerts of observation. But whatever the source of the dates in Genesis seven and eight, whether direct from the orathe write cle of God, or from an actual computation by Mosees himself, A been absolutely impossible for Mosees to have calculated or checked the series, unless he knew (1) the exact year of the flood; (2) the mean length of

¹⁶ Just as possible as in the twentieth century when the exact constants governing the heavenly bodies have only been known since the laws of gravitation were discovered.

the year, both lunar and solar; and (3) the mean length of the moon's course around the earth. And, in addition, he must also have understood the action of the lunar and solar tides in order to have numbered the months in harmony with them and the events described.

annels Both the chronicler and the writer of Kings mention the ancient beeks upon which they based their chronology. But in this respect the book of Genesis appears to be different, and so also its chronicle; for there is not - dre Lewisle corriler in his hand clear evidence that Meses had written sources which had come down to him from his own people. Consequently, all the more remarkable and important is the biblical record that furnishes a series of astronomical dates, obviously calculated to an event conturies before Meses' time -----

2. Season for Beginning the Flood. Josephus dates the flood season in the autumn. He says:

"This calemity happened in the six hundredth year of Noah's government. in the second month, called by the Macedonians Dius, but by the Hebrews Mar-hezvan; for so did they order their year in Egypt." 17

Philo is another important witness supporting the order of months in Genesis. He himself commonly numbers Tishri as the first month. (It is numbered seven in the modern Jewish calendar.) But Philo recognizes that at the time of the exodus God commanded Moses that the passover month should be designated as the first. With reference to the paschal month, Philo writes:

"This month, being the seventh both in number and order, according to the revolutions of the sun is the first in power; on which account it is also called first in the sacred scriptures." 18 and others

These statements of Josephus and Philo are further confirmed by the Talconclusions mud, and they appear to be consistent for the following reasons:

a. If the flood months began in the autumn, they would then coalesce with the seasons as they have always been in the Near East -- rain in fall and spring, and drought in summer.

17 Josephus, Antt. I.IV.3 18 Philo Judaeus, "The Life of Moses," vol. 111, pp. 171, 284. London, 1855. 19

Rosh Hashava 11 - 12" (Babylouiare Talmed).

The following are other authoritative statements that support an autumn month as the first in earliest times:

1. Karl Schoch--

"Wenn auch die Namen der Monate vor - 2400 nicht dieselben waren, wie die bekannten späteren (Nisan, etc.) der erste Monat des Jahres begann doch etwa 8 Tage nach dem Aequinox."--Planeten-Tafeln für Jedermann, col. xxxix. Berlin-Pankow, 1927.

2. Martin P. Nilsson--

"For if the series of numbered months begins in spring, yet there are also indications of an earlier beginning in autumn."--Primitive Time-Reckoning, London, 1920, 234.

"The rule for the beginning is given in Ex. 12:2. . . This reads like a prescription for the reform of the calendar, when it is remembered that in all places the Feast of the Passover was dated in relation to the month of ears (chodesh ha-abib)."--Ibidem, 273.

3. Gustaf Dalman ---

"In Übereinstimmung mit dieser Bedeutung der Plejaden steht die judische Beziehung des Beginnes der Sinflut am 17. des zweiten Monats (1 Mos.7:11) auf den 17. Marcheschwan (November) als den Tag des Frühuntergangs des Sternbildes kimä. Der Palästinische Talmud^a) bezeugt dies mit den Worten: "R. Eliezer said, 'That day was the seventeenth of Marchesvan, a day on which the constellation of Pleiades rises at daybreak, and [the season] when the fountains begin to fill. ...'"--Rosh Hashana 11^b - 12^a. ("Arbeit und Sitte in Palästina, Gütersloh, 1928, 123. 1 Band.)

4. Benno Landsberger ---

"Dass der burgerliche Jahresanfang, der ja durchaus nicht immer mit dem religiosen übereinstimmen muss, in altbabylonischer Zeit derselbe wie in assyrischer und neubabylonischer, also idealiter der Frühlingsbeginn, war, würde zuerst von de Genouillac, TSA xviii, Anm. 3, bestritten."-Der Kultische Kalender der Babylonier und Assyrer, Leipzig, 1915, 18.

5. F.K. Ginzel--

"Radau glaubt, dass das Jahr ursprünglich mit DUMU-ZI (dem 7. Monate in Kol. II), entsprechend dem Tisritu (dem judischen Tisri) begonnen worden sein könnte, denn der 7. Monat heisst auf babylonischen Tafeln der 3. Dynastie auch a-ki-ti = Neujahrsfest . . . Das alte babylonische Jahr wurde also mit dem Herbstäquinoktium begonnen haben; zur Zeit Gudeas sei der Jahresanfang auf Frühjahr, den Monat SE-IL-LA (entsprechend dem judische Nisan) verlegt worden."---Handbuch der mathematischen und technischen Chronologie, 1 Band, Leipzig, 1906, 115.

6. G. Schiaparelli ---

"Ancient months	Ancient order	Later order	Equivalent I modern names	<u>References in</u> Old Testament
Ethanim	First mo.	Seventh mo.	October	1 Kings 8:2
Bul	Sec. "	Eighth "	November	1 Kings 6:38
Abib	Sev. "	First "	April	Ex.23:15
Ziv	Eighth "	Second "	May	1 Kings 6:1,37 "
			Astronom	v in the Old Testament.

Oxford, 1905, 105.

7. Hugo Radau ---

"Above we saw that seventh, became in later times = גיסן as first month. Butאביב corresponds, as regards its meaning, to SE-IL-LA; hence SE-IL-LA must have been in the oldest times the seventh, and later on the first month of the year. GAN-MAS, it was said, corresponds to 1, which latter again became later on the second = 1, hence also GAN-MAS must have been originally the eighth, and later on became the second month. .

"While these changes took place, it happened the new months were introduced. . . Othere lost their original place, as for instance, SE-KIN-KUD and SU-KUL. The history of this latter month is especially interesting. In List A it is the fifth; in List D, on the other hand, the fourth. In the Assyrian period, or possibly before, it was even thrown together with the eighth month. . Thus it happened that the month of 'sowing' (SU-KUL) became the 'child of life' or 'true child' (Du'uzu, רמנו,)."--Early Babylonian History, London, 1900, 297, 298.

8. John David Michaelis, pp. 26,27.

- 9. Bucherius, p. 368 = citing Kepler, " Eeloques", p. 90.
- 10. Bucherius, p. 367 = citing Clavies.
- 11. Stephen Sangdon, "Babylonian menologies and the Semitic Calendaro," pp. 23, 24, "Schweich Seelvere, 1983. Cf. Elso p. 66 pr "prehistório caludar"

b. According to the numbering of the months as given in Table A.1, the astronomical tides harmonize with the events described. If the order of the months is reversed, the tides do not check.

c. The numbering of the months as in Table A.l, is a key to the length of the months when compared with the periods; but by reversing the order of the months, and numbering from Nisan, the key thereby becomes useless, as will be shown later.

d. If Noah had left the ark in Iyar as the second month, he would obviously have had to wait at least seven months before seeding the ground. But coming forth in Hesvan, he could immediately prepare for the November seeding of wheat, as is customary in the Near East, and soon after, for the January sowing of barley. That the seasons were fully established after Noah left the ark is implied in Gen. 8:22.

e. The divine law in Ex. 12:2 that from the time of the first passover the months were to be numbered from Abib (Deut. 16:1), indicates that previously they had been differently numbered.

And a further argument relates to the position of the Egyptian month Thoth in the time of the exodus, when it hevered around the autumnal equinox. This can be shown from the record of Josephus,²⁰ which states that the Egyptians called Nisan of the exodus Pharmuthi (Sth month), thus bringing the subsequent Thoth in September-October. An approximate result can also be obtained by reckoning back from the Nabonassar era, when 1 Thoth occurred on Feb. 26, 747 B.C. ²¹ And if Moses had projected the Egyptian schematic calendar of 50-day months back to the flood period, in the intervening years, the first month Thoth would have advanced past the spring equinox at least. Consequently, the lunar calendar used by Moses, both in the case of the exodus and in that of the flood, had its new year at the expected of the Egyptian coonomic scheme of counting time. By divine command Isreel was evidently to be out loose from the idolatry of Egypt even with respect to the calendar.²²

5. Description of the Flood Calendar. In the accompanying calendar table

21 In the approximate seven or eight centuries back from 747 B.C. to the exodus, the Thoth new year would advance toward 200 days, which, reckoned forward from February 26, would end in September.

ward from February 26, would end in September. 22 Brugsch has shown that every day of the ancient Egyptian calendar was named after an Egyptian god. ("Inschriften Altaegyptischer Denkmaeler," pp. 49-51. Leipzig, 1883.)

²⁰ Josephus, Antt. II.XIV.6.

A.1, the numbered months, dates, and periods belong to the record of Meses. The lunar names only have been introduced according to Josephus and Philo, as previously explained. To each lunar month has been assigned a specific number of days in harmony with the lunar constant long recognized by astronomy.²³ Because it is inconsistent to end the lunar month on the half day, alternating months of 30 and 29 days are marked off in the table. The calendar moon is thereby made to conform sufficiently with the real moon and her position in the sky. It then remains to demonstrate that the schematic periods of Meses' record-40 days and 150 days--are in agreement with the assigned length to each month. (Cf. Table A.2 ff.)

The deluge began in the year 600 of Noah's life (Gen.7:6), and the year changed to 601 on the first day of the subsequent first month (Gen.8:13). This change of year on the 308th day of the flood is indisputable evidence that the calendar was intentionally based upon both the lunar and solar years. For, by adding the 46 days in Tishri and Hesvan before the rain began, to 308, we

Insert on page 10

Other early instances in Genesis for an autumn new year are (1) in Gen. 26:12, where Isaac sowed a field in Philistia, and "in the same year" received a hundredfold. In the Near East, seeding is in the fall, and harvest is in the summer. In order therefore to have both the sowing and the harvest all in one year, the new year obviously must have come the first of Tishri. (2) Again, in Gen.47:18 the year had ended, and the Egyptians came to Joseph "the second year," saying, "Give us seed that we may live." And Joseph did so (verse 23). Hence the new year must have come just before seeding, that is, in Tishri.

month as 29 1/2 days + 1/35 day. or 29.530305 days. He was a Greek astronomer, but based his calculation upon Chaldean astronomy. ("Elementa Astronomiae," ch. XVIII. Tr. Manitius. Lipsiae, 1898.)

The synodic constant of modern astronomy is 29.530588 days.

A.1, the numbered months, dates, and periods belong to the record of Meses. The lunar names only have been introduced according to Josephus and Philo, as previously explained. To each lunar month has been assigned a specific number of days in harmony with the lunar constant long recognized by astronomy.²³ Because it is inconsistent to end the lunar month on the half day, alternating months of 30 and 29 days are marked off in the table. The calendar moon is thereby made to conform sufficiently with the real moon and her position in the sky. It then remains to demonstrate that the schematic peridus of Meses' record-40 days and 150 days-are in agreement with the assigned length to each month. (Cf. Table A.2 ff.)

The deluge began in the year 600 of Noah's life (Gen.7:6), and the year changed to 601 on the first day of the subsequent first month (Gen.8:13). This change of year on the 306th day of the flood is indisputable evidence that the calendar was intentionally based upon both the lunar and solar years. For, by adding the 46 days in Tishri and Hesven before the rain began, to 808, we get 354 days, which represent the number in a common lunar year; while, by adding to 508 the 57 days from the change of year to the time Noah left the eark, we get 365 days, which correspond to the solar year. Thus we have the earliest historical records for the length of the lunar and solar years, and at the same time the earliest precedent for beginning the Jewish civil year in the autumn. Let us examine with further detail the outline in Table A.1, particularly with respect to the length of the various months.

If the lunar portion of the flood period had been a leap year, then it would have contained 13 months, and the 13th month would have been introduced probably either as an additional Adar or Flui. If Adar, then Nisan in which the ark

23 Even in a late century B.C. Geminus computed the length of the synodic month as 29 1/2 days + 1/33 day. or 29.530303 days. He was a Greek astronomer, but based his calculation upon Chaldean astronomy. ("Elementa Astronomise," ch. XVIII. Tr. Manitius. Lipsiae, 1898.)

The synodic constant of modern astronomy is 29.530588 days.

rested would necessarily have been numbered the eighth month instead of the seventh. And furthermore, in event of a lunar leap year, Noah must have left the ark on the 27th day of the first month, instead of the second, if he were file annalist to to carry out the obvious intention of marking off the 365 days belonging to Ut conclusions the solar year. The conclusion is therefore insistent that the length of lunar year in Column "a" is common lunar, and not a leap year.

But in every lunar cycle, the moon's position is such as frequently to demand an additional leap day, thus making the lunar year 355 days long. And in such a case the extra day is customarily added to Hesvan, which then contains 30 days. The question at once arises whether Hesvan in which the rain began had 30 days. The following table answers this question, and shows that the additional day in the flood calendar would have brought confusion into the figures of the writer of Genesis.

Table A.2

False Arrangement for Hesvan

(2)	Hesvan	30	16	H H			Days in Hesvan before rain began. Rain on 17th = 1 calendar day.
			13	-	40	days	
(3)	Kisleu	-	26				and the state of the second
		00	4	=			Remaining days in Kisleu.
(4)	Tebet		29				
(5)	Shebat		30	-	150	dava	(five schematic 30-day periods)
(6)	Adar		29				facts contracted to any formers.
(7)	Nisan		30				
(8)	Ther	29	28				
			1	-			the 150-day period.

Demonstration. In the foregoing table, the 30 days in Hesvan make the 40-day period end on 26 Kisleu, and the 150 days, on 28 Jyar. Hence, the remaining 4 days in Kisleu must be added to the months 4 to 8 inclusive in order to complete five 30-day periods, which are the equivalent of 150 days. But Tebet, Adar, and Jyar can be allowed only one extra day each, for no lumar month ever equals more than 30 days. Therefore, an additional day in Hesvan finds no month in the 150-day period to which it can be added, and thus it would bring confusion into the figures of Moses. Accordingly, the two periods--40 days and 150 days--exactly lock in place the length of each month. Hence the consistent conclusion that the lunar portion of the flood year was a common lunar year, equaling 354 days, and not 355 days. And with the understanding that Tishri is the first month, the lunar year would obviously have to begin with Tishri, and end on the last day of Elul. This is in harmony with the change of year recorded in the text. Lunar calculations are the most exact of all ancient forms of calendation. And a lunar calendar, like every other form, has to give account of every day brought into existence by the revolution of the earth. In this twentieth century it is frequently argued that somewhere in the dim past (sic!) a day was lost. Astronomers deny this assumption. But in addition, the lunar reckoning **ef Mesce** in Genesis seven and eight also denies the challenge.

Another important constant is also present in Column "b" of Table A.1. By subtracting the balance of 13 days in Hesven from the combined periods that equal 190 days, an equation can be formed as follows:

> 6 lunar months = 177 days ... 1 mean month = 1/6 of 177, or 29.5 days.

These 29.5 days represent the mean calendar length of the lunar month. Therefore, on the calendar, two months = 59 days. And because the month must necessarily end on the even day at sunset, alternate months of 30 and 29 days are the best answer to the moon's varying but exact motion. And this the writer of Generic fact Meses evidently intended to stress, and he accomplished his purpose by introducing the two periods, which absolutely fix the order of the series. For if the order of the months should be changed, as given in Column "b", and the 30-day months replace the 29-day months, and vice versa, then an extra day appears for which there is no month to which it can be added, and yet be in harmony with the periods. This is a very simple, but vary effectual check.

And furthermore, on the basis of the mean month = 29.5 days, the length

of every month in the Genesis calendar can be ascertained. For example, 8 months from Tishri to Iyar (inclusive) = 8 x 29.5 days = 236 days. Now add up on Table A.1 the months from Hesvan to Iyar, and get 206 days. Subtract the two results and get 30 days for Tishri. Thus is it proved that the arrangement of the months under the 190-day period is unchangeable, and it therefore becomes a pattern for the simplest form of the lunar year such as we find in Genesis seven and eight. It is a 354-day year, alternating 30and 29-day months.

In Column "b" of Table A.1, the solar year is also outlined as heretofore described. A period of exactly 365 days extends from 17 Hesvan, when the rain began, to 27 Hesvan, when Noah left the ark. These two limiting dates mark out a precise solar year during which the flood prevailed. The difference of 10 days between the two limiting dates²⁴ is sufficient evidence that Moses actually intended to leave on record the length of the common solar year as a companion constant to the common lunar year. Schiaparelli is one of few who have taken note of this coincidence:

"-- we cannot doubt that this writer [Meses] knew the year of 365 days. In fact, he makes the flood begin in the 600th year of Noah's life, on the seventeenth day of the second month; and the definite drying of the earth and the end of the flood he puts in the 601st year of Noah's life, on the twenty-seventh day of the second month. These months are certainly those of the Jewish calendar, that is to say, lunar periods. The flood would therefore have lasted twelve moons and eleven extra days. It is hard not to recognize here the intention of making the flood last for an exact solar year; for if 354 days be assumed for the duration of 12 moons (they amount in reality to 354 days, 9 hours) the total duration of the flood comes to 365 days." 25

Consequently, the calendar in Genesis is also an acknowledgment of the length of the solar year. It is indeed thrilling to find these astronomical constants in the biblical text. They represent very ancient computations in calendar science. They would appear to have been introduced early in the

24 Actual difference between 12 moons and a solar year is 10.875 days. 25 Schiaparelli, G., "Astronomy in the Old Testament," p. 127. Oxford, 1905. (The exact solar constant = 365.2421987 days.)

Toamis Kepleri, Opera Omnia, Volumen VII, Pars. 1, Francofurti, 1870, 268

lunar

pages of Holy Writ for the express purpose of pointing to the form of calenes the one dar to be used in biblical chronology.

Another significant feature pertaining to the Genesis calendar is Noah's week, which probably began when Noah entered the ark on 10 Hesvan. It would be a consistant conclusion that the patriarchal week always began the same as at oreation--and the same as has persisted even to the twentieth century. Possibly Noah would intern his family at the beginning of the week, while the rain commenced on the eighth day after (Gen. 7:10). But in any event, it is significant to note that if the successive days of the flood year be marked off by seven-day weeks on the lunar calendar in Genesis, every date but one (first of Temmus) will coincide with the first day of Noah's week. This calculation is of value, for it indicates that the seven-day week is an historical institution in actual practice in very ancient times. It also assists in cataloging the flood as an historical event--not a legend.

The identified seasons of the flood calendar together with the recorded dates make it possible to discover both lunar and solar tidal influence in connection with the resting of the ark. It is hard not to recognize this inautomical the perturnt characteristic in Moses' Genesis chronicle. Aside from the moon's phases-new moon and full moon--upon which every lunar calendar is based, the author of Quesic Meses seems to have introduced into this his first calendar at least one tying relation to the moon's orbit. This has reference to the resting of the ark over the peaks of Ararat on the 17th day of Nisan. On this day the moon was nearing her last quarter when tidal influence is small. ²⁶ The lunar tide

26 "Neap tides occur at quadratures."--Barlow and Bryan, Mathematical Astronomy, p. 386. London, 1934. "Spring tide occurs about the full and change of the moon, neap tide oc-

"Spring tide occurs about the full and change of the moon, neap tide occurs at the half moon, and the range at springs is usually about three times as great as that at neaps."--Darwin, George, The Tides and Kindred Phenomena in the Solar System, p. 159. Boston, 1898.

"The neap tides, at the first and last quarters of the moon, have the smallest range,--usually rather less than half that of the spring tides."---Russell, Dugan and Stewart, Revision of Young's Manual of Astronomy, p. 292. Boston, 1926. has the smallest range when the moon is gibbous, and also in apogee, that is, farthest from the earth. And the lumar tide is least marked by violent currents, when, in addition, the half moon is on the equator. ²⁷ In face of these facts, it does not seem irrelevant or absurd to reason that divine guidance, in arresting the ark, would allay the wind and choose positions for both sum and moon most conducive to a quiet haven--one free from swift tides and vicious currents. The 17th day of the lumar month, being in close proximity to the last quarter, is therefore a significant date. And if, at this point of time or soon after, the half moon were in apogee, and also on the celestial equator, the moon's tide-raising force would be the least in range, and the diurnal inequality, zero. This position of the half moon in the last quarter of Wisan, together with the decreasing solar tide in the immediate subsequent summer months, would result in a lowering of all the tides until the earth reached aphelion.

Under these conditions, an even flow and ebb of the astronomical tides hitherto would prevail during the summer, thereby enabling the storm-tossed ark to move about in a small compass until finally surrounded by the mountains of Ararat. The following Table A.3 demonstrates how the tides of the flood year would be identifying in character particularly during the summer season, when the solar tide was on the decrease:

APPROXIMATE DATING OF THE MOON'S ANOMALY IN THE FLOOD YEAR

	Tishri	16	-	Perigee	Adar	5	=	Perigee	
	Tishri	30	=	Apogee	Adar	18	-	Apogee .	 Neap tide near
Rain on 174h	Hesvan	13	==	Perigee	Nisan	3	22	Perigee	
MALL ON AT OIL	Hesvan	27	=	Apogee	Nisan	17	==	Apogee .	 Neap tide near
	Kisleu	12	=	Perigee	Iyar	1	=	Perigee	Salay tida dan
Portholion	Kisleu	25	-	Apogee	Iyar	15	20	Apogee	creasing
(ca)	Tebet	9		Perigee	Iyar	28	1 11	Perigee	Sautua tidaa lau
	Tebet	23	-	Apogee	Sivan	14		Apogee	ohrug ernes row
	Shebat	7	-	Perigee	Sivan	28	=	Perigee	Anhalian (an)
Neap tide	Shebat	21		Apogee	Tammuz	11	==	Apogee	whenter (os)

27 "The fact that the range of the two successive tides is not the same is of great importance in tidal theory; it is called the diurnal inequality of the Cf. Ferguson, Vol. 1, p. 266.

Demonstration. On 17 Nisan, the spring tide of full moon was already several days in the past, and the moon was approaching noap tide in the last quarter when the "ark rested." About the time of the Sivan conjunction, the solar tide reached an all low for the year, making the accompanying spring tide lower than usual. The earth was in aphelion about the time the peaks of Ararat appeared.

But if the months of the flood calendar should be reversed, and made to to the my in generio, begin in the spring with Nisen, then, according to Moses! numbers, the ark would have rested on the 17th Tishri, and in the immediately ensuing fall and winter, the tides -- both solar and lunar -- would have had the most extreme range of the year. 28 On the contrary, with a fall-beginning calendar, the tides occurring in early summer after the ark rested, had the least range, and were most free from violent currents -- and this at a time when the ark was still drifting about. In other words, by divine arrangement, the most proseemes to have been pitious season of the year was chosen for the arrest of the ark.

And again, it is hard not to reason that in the afore-going manner the astronomical tides performed their part in a conspiring effect upon the envelop of water covering the earth. This tidal argument brings agreement between the events described and the behaviour of both sun and moon, while it assigns to the flood year a definite astronomical character. Furthermore, it also demonstrates how the hand of God works in harmony with His majestic forces which hold the universe together.

4. Importance of the Genesis Calendar. The Genesis calendar is seemingly an historical document of rare antiquity; for it ties together the patriarchal age and the centuries of Israelite slavery under the pharaohs, when the

"When the declination is zero, there are two equal tides daily." -- Russell, Dugan and Stewart, Revision of Young's Manual, p. 293.

In postdiluvian centuries, sailing was dangerous in the Near East after the month Tishri. (Cf. Acts 27:9.)

tide."--Darwin, George, The Tides, p. 155.

[&]quot;The diurnal inequality conforms to the theory in vanishing when the moon is on the equator, and rising to a maximum when the moon is furthest north or south."--Darwin, p. 159. 28 "Both the spring and neap tides . . . are on the whole most marked when

the Sun is near perigee, i.e. about January."--Barlow and Bryan, p. 386.

autumn new year of the sons of Jacob belonged to the same season as the Egypthe author of Quests tian Thoth new year. Obviously, Meses had to choose between these two forms of calendation in order to construct the record in Genesis. Let us summarize its various features of importance:

a. In this Genesis chronicle the dates in themselves are of telling significance. Noah and his family went into the ark a full week before the rain began. It was on the tenth day of the second month, and throughout the week Noah completed his preparations in the piercingly clear light of the full moon. Five months later the ark rested. In the last quarter of this seventh month, the winds and tides have ceased their violence, and the ark is moving about in a quiet haven of water over Ararat. When the patriarch finally neares the ark, it is close to the end of the month, and the moon is new. Two, possibly three, days go by ere the horned moon slowly sets on the western horizon after the sun. In this series of dates in Genesis, all the phases of the moon are involved. A calendar based upon the moon reveals meny astronomical events that would pass wholly unnoticed by the wendering year of Egypt, or by the later Julian scheme of measuring time.

b. Of essential importance are the nature and character of the Genesis calendar. That this instrument was calculated, and not based upon new moon observation seems incontrovertible, for there is no direct evidence that any of the annuality for there is no direct evidence that any written sources were at Messes' command. Furthermore, if the months had been originally determined by consecrated and observed moons--fourteen in number-then they would most likely not have presented a regular series of alternate 30- and 29-day periods. And hereby is lifted an uncertainty which has hitherto challenged the whole problem of the ancient lunar month, namely, what happened to the calendar when the moon was not seen? Every scripture date is an answer to this question, for all the dates in the Bible respond to a calculated new moons, as the synchronisms reveal, and is now further supported by the reckoning in Genesis.

c. Of great interest to astronomy should be this very early record of indisputable solar and lunar constants--the mean length of the lunar month and in organized Israel year, and the mean length of the solar year. The original Jewish calendar . was founded upon both forms of year. Its months were determined by the course of the moon, while the lunar year itself paced along with the sun's motion by means of the harvest festivals. The festal season remained stabulized in tween Jewry until after the time of Hillel II. In the mediaeval controversy be, the various Jewish sects, one Yefet ben 'Ali the Karsite challenged the op-

d. Another feature of consequence pertaining to ancient chronology comes to light in this-flood study, namely, that there are apparently two ways of numbering the lunar months in the pentateuch: one, from Tishri, as in the Genesis computation--a method followed by the Israelite slaves in Egypt; and the second, from Nisan (the Abib of Moses), after the exodus, and continuing to the present day.

e. The Genesis calendar presents about the earliest precedent for beginning the civil year in the autumn. There are also arguments that the creation of the world occurred in this season. When the year's harvests were over, Moses speaks of the "going out of the year," and of the "revolution of the year," even though the calendar had already begun numbering its months from the passover month. With the exception of the records in Haggai and Device and Estim. Zechariah, the civil Jewish year would appear always to have changed its date in Tishri throughout biblical history.

f. And still another value in the Genesis chronicle is of material consethe quence to , chronology of history. For in this calendaric reckoning there oc-

29 Birnbaum, Philip, "The Arabic Commentary of Yefet ben 'Ali the Karaite on the Book of Hosea," p. Philadelphia, 1942. curs so exact an astronomical description of the flood year, that, in event of its approximate century being identified, the year itself can centainly be dated.

And why should not the investigator have as much confidence in the chronological records of Genesis as in the monuments upon which he pins his hope and courage in the serius conquest for uncovering truth? The harmony between these two lines, representing both the most ancient events of history and the youngest science of discovery, is not fully established; and frequently they appear wide apart in their witness. But, in turn, the Bible is in reality the basic field of inquiry, and at least one grounded upon indisputable internal evidence when understood. To Job, wisdom was a mine for silver and gold; to Jesus, a field with a hidden treasure--one to which at one and the same time the simplest way of life and the highest avenue of scientific even endeavor have relation. Many times one does not, see evidence in a humble garb when seeking attestation in the skills of science. But both are indispensable to the discovery of truth.

-2500	
S.V. Image: Stress of the stres of the stress of the stress	
996 16.271 1<	
16.271 -1 Per. -1 Per. <td< td=""><td></td></td<>	
-TPEP	
Sums	
Arg. 71 33 72 73 74 76	77
-2500	
S.V.	
1996	
116 ^d	

Tab Arg.	Date		Tab	Arg.	Date
1			1		1
2			2		
3			3		
4			4		
5			5	+	
6			6		
7			7		
Sum			Sun	n	
10		16	10	1	
11		17	- 11		
12		18	12		
13		19	13		
Sum I.F.=			Sur I.F.	n _	(95) =
$\Sigma_8 = sum$	07-	_	Σ8	= sum	

TV,	An + Data	N T		TV.	August Data	Valu
lad	Arg. al Dale	Value		Iap	Arg.al Dale	valu
15			71	15		1
16	1		33	16		
17			72	17		10
18		+	73	18		
19			74	19		1 4
21			76	21		
22			77	22		
Sur	m			Sum	2	
k(Tab.19-200)			k(To	rp.1d-500) =	
9(0	Const.)			9(00	onst)	
Σ8				Σ8		
Σa				Σο		
Ta	b. 24 Arg.			Tab.	24 Arg.	
>>	" Parallax			>7	" Parallax	

I.F. = ____ Tab. 23 VI

Date = 1900 + 2403.7 = -4303.7

7 Per. = 7 x 270.95 = 1896.65 ____

Arg. = 1896.65 +k = -.0000248 x -4303.7 = +.1067

Ara.	D	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	12	16	17	18	19
-2500	26,9234	0.996	51.61	95.73	72.28	53.74	53.98	99.20	6.10	63.457	4.83	6.71	51.0
S.V.	0082	+ .002	27	+ .2	42	43	22	+ .17	02	430	+ .03	04	+ .2
1972	0.4864	4.738	121.77	33.25	65.42	58.92	107.47	32.02	17.23	187.490	47.80	26.00	14.47
111.271	22.6790	34.199	71.40	3.18	83.43	24.03	92.43	26.99	23.25	54.000	26.07	27.60	22.50
-IPer.	29.5306	11.400	23.80	1.06	27.81	8.01	30.81	9.00	7.75	18.000	8.69	9.20	7.50
-Periods	·		+156 +	116 -	-248 -	128 -	-260 -	-100	-48 -	-251 -	-51 -	-38 -	- 76 .
Sums	20.5500	61.335	112.31	17.42	0.52	16.27	24.47	67.38	6.31	71.527	36.42	31.47	19.67
Sum	18.55	= 15 Nis	an			100							
Arg.	71		33		72		73		74	7	6	7	7
-2500	6.0 14	6.90 20	5.5 79.9	3 18.0	81.55	0.5	6.4	10.0	47.0	2.5	0.4	4.5	26.6
S.V.	- 2	3.90	- 1.5	8	+ 10.18		- 13.7		- 1.2	-	3.8	+	1.2
1972	6.0 2	9.32 13	5.0 2.8	6 25.0	74.74	2.0	155.9	0.0	50.6	3.0 :	30.8	8.0	27.0
11,10	28.0 14	8.0 1 22	2.0 80.	15.5	14.0	5.0	138.0	3.0	41.0	4.0	11.0	10.0	20.0
0.27	113	8.8	52.9	2	58.9	1	149.6	/	38.3	1 2 2	31.8		35.1
-Per	27.5 - 2	4 50	12.0	+31.5	_ 68.	7.5	436.2	13.0	175.7	- 7.0 -	15	-20.0 -	22.
Adj.	+ .5 - 22	0. + 1	196.	+ .5	-109.	+ .5 -	- 277.	+ 1.0	- 142.			+ .5 -	65.
Sums	13.0 17	5.12 5	6.5 6.1	3 27.5	62.37	8.0	159.2	14.0	33.7	2.5	55.2	3.0	22.9
109ª	26.0 14	8 20	.0 80.	13.5	14.	3.0	138	1.0	41	0.5	11.	8.0 **	20.
Sum	11.0 17	5.1 3	.5 6.1	3 25.5	62.4	6.0	159	12.0		0.5	55.2	0.1	22.9

Tab	Arg.	20.0	Date 20.5	21.0	-	1
1	61.	111	105	100	1	
2	112	43	35	30	1	1
3	17	259	254	250	X	
4	0.5	65	65	66	14	
5	16	8	9	10	1	1_
6	24	15	15	15	1	_
7	67	2	S	2	¥.	
Su	m	503	485	473		S
10	72	121	119	116	16	
11	36	21	15	21	17	
12	31	2	2	2	18	1
13	. 20	164	165	166	19	1
Su	m	811	792	778		5
I.F.	= +.10	-	2			I
k(I	st sum-	-595)	= 100	(-)		1
Σa	= sum		780		1	2
	17 NIS	SAN				

Tab	Arg.	18.0	Date 18.5	19.0					
1	61	140	133	126					
2	112	95	78	64					
3	17	274	272	269					
4	0.5	58	60	62					
5	16	11	9	8					
6	24	15	15	15					
7	67	2	2	2					
Sum 595 569 546									
10	72	122	123	123					
11	36	21	15	21					
.12	31	2	2	2					
13	20	149	154	158					
Sum	2	889	869	850					
I.F.:	+.10		- 2						
k(Is	st sum -	595)=	= 0	1					
Σ8 =	= sum	1	867						
	15 NISA	N							

V/			1000	10	IV
Tab	Arg	.at Date	Value	1	Ta
15	13.0	175.12+.5	2360	171	15
16	5.5	6.13	969	33	16
17	27.5	62.4+1.1	6403	72	17
18	8.0	159.2	510	73	18
19	14.0	33.7	371	74	19
21	2.5	55.2	5	76	21
22	3.0	P.55	37	77	22
Su	m		10655		Su
kC	Tab.1	9-200)	0		k (1
9((Const	t.)	9		9((
Σ8		(17th)	780		Σ8
Σa			11444		Σο
Ta	b.24	Arg.			Tal
>>	"	Parallax	54 13"	1	>>

	Tab	Arg.	.at Date	να	lue
71	15	11.0	175.1+.5	44	197_
33	16	3.5	6.13	30	200
72	17	25.5	62.4+1.1	58	51
73	18	6.0	159	1	35
74	19	12.0	33.7	2	54
76	21	0.5	55		49
77	22	1.0	22.9	1	06
	Sun	n		133	84
	k(To	ab.19-1	= (005	+	6
	9 (C	onst)			9
	Σ8	-	(15th)	8	67
1	Σο	-		142	66
	Tab.	24 Am	·q.		
	>>	" Pa	rallax	54	42"

I.F. = +.10 _ Tab. 23 VI

Date = 1900 + 2427.7 = -4327.7 x k = +.1073

7 Per. = 7 x 270.95 = 1896.65

Arg. = 1896.65+

k = -.0000248 x

D=24.5502 (21 NISAN)

		7	71			33		72			73	_	7	4			76		77	•
115	d T	32	14	48	26.0		80	19.5	4	9.0	1	38	7.0	41		8.0	-	11.0	14.0	20
Sur	n	17.0	17	5.1	9.5	122	6.13	31.5 6	2.37	2.5	9	6.2	2.5	49	.7	6.5		55	7.0	22.9
D = :	21.55								-											
112	d							19												
Sur	n													-					a di ma	1. Ine
*				11	5th	Day							11		112	thD	ay			
V Tab	Ara.	24.0	Date 24.5	25.0	,	V	Ara.	at Date	Val	ue	V	Aira.	21.0	Date	22.0	11	V	Ara	at Date	Value
1	61	901	116	125		15	170	1751+5	. 97 12	221	+	C1	100	96	an		15	14.0	175.1+.5	2456
2	112	29	35	43		16	9.5	6.13	13	516	2	112	30	26	23		16	6.5	6.13	361
3	17	237	234	230		17	31.5	62.4+1.1	94	+69	3	17	250	246	244		17	28.5	62.4+1.1	6852
4	0.5	60	58	56		18	2.5	96.2	2	255.	4	0.5	66	66	65		18	9.0	139.2	612
5	16.	20	22	24	-	19	2.5	49.7	2	276	5	16	10	11	12		19	15.0	33.7	392
6	24	15	15	15		21	6.5	55		58	6	24	15	15	15		21	3.5	55.2	3
7	67	2	- 1	1		22	7.0	22.9		47	2	67	2	2	2		55	4.0	22.9	10
Sun		471	481	494		Sur	n		167	142	S71.7	n.	473	462	455	- 1	Su	m -		10686
5	-		Y		1	KC	Tab.19	(005-1		8					1		K(Tab.10	1-200)	21
10	72	113	115	117	100	9(0	const.)		9.	10	. 72	116	114	511		.9 ((Const.)	9
11	36	21	21	21		IZ8	1		7	61	11	36	21	21	21	+	Zg			754
12	31	7	8	9	-	E9	-		175	20	12	31	2	. 3	4	-	E9			11470
13	20	153	14-8	148		Ta	, "	Arg. Parallax	55'	14."	13	20	166	166	165		100	99 P	arallax	54'13
Sun	2	765	773	789	-						Su	101	778	766	737	1	-	1.000		
I.F.	=+.10	1 100-	+ 1		-						I.F	=+.10)	+ 11						
1. 11	stsum	-595)	=-13 761		+						KC	1st sun	m-59	5)=13	(-)					





$$\frac{2584}{Nc} = C_{ph,i} = \frac{251747}{2311937} = \frac{92}{110} \frac{110}{100} \frac{110}{110} \frac{110}{110}$$

$$\frac{2915}{19} = 0\mu_{2} = 2.91160.84 + 100100 - 1.000 -$$

$$2\frac{3}{7}0 = M_{ay} = \frac{2}{2} \frac{5}{9} \frac{6}{9} \frac{7}{9} \frac{7}{9} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{9} \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{9} \frac{$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} \frac{2}{16} = 2 \\ \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{16} \frac{2}{6} \frac{2}{3} \frac{1}{16} \frac{$$

2350 = 000	= 2324175	Flood Dates
Mo	2321585.87 -514 -10 2598.89 - 124 -46 -4184.57 -503 -124 -418431 -13 -200.58 -13 -200.58 - 503 -13 -200.58 - 55 -35 -200.58 - 55 -35 -25 -25 -25 -25 -25 -25 -25 -2	56 03 .34 .18 .06 .06 .06 .06 .06 .06 .00 .00
	apr 26 = 14 histor $apr 13 = 1$ histor = \bigcirc	10.06 Tr. Par.
001-0-01		
2352 = upr.	- 2020 470	- train
Ja	1860.43 207 37	
	3446.30 586 115	
	15.20	
	* 32	
	61 95	
	45	23501
	Qhr 16.95 = F.M.B.C.T.	2374/
and the state of t	abr. 18 = 14 nisou	1 agen (1 Mis
	1. apr 5 = 1 Visan = (Tu)	2398 (= F
e	764	~101
2355 = apr	= 2322349 20 200 20	En normal th
Tu	2321580.87 5/7 10	1 - georg
	- 767.00 046 11	
	14.86	
	. 32	
	. 13	
	68.98 19	
	apr 19.98 = F.M. B.C.T.	
	apr 21 = 14 nivon	
	i abr & = 1 Nitrue = (Ma)	
	1 (10)	

$$\frac{1}{2^{4}} = \frac{1}{2^{4}} =$$

$$\frac{247.5}{N_{0}} = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{N_{0}} = 0$$

2.467 = Q₁ = 2.268
$$\frac{8}{743}$$
 (2.4)
Tu = Q₁ = 2.268 $\frac{8}{743}$ (2.1)
 $\frac{2}{74}$ (1.95 $\frac{9}{13}$ (2.2)
 $\frac{1}{72}$ (2.2) (2.1)
 $\frac{1}{72}$ (2.2) (2.2)
 $\frac{1}{72}$ (2

$\frac{2426}{Th} = 2296416^{\circ}$ $\frac{2293856.64}{12580} = 2496416^{\circ}$ $\frac{42580}{14.92} = 340125^{\circ}$ $\frac{41.92}{16} = 147127$ $\frac{41.92}{16} = 147127$ $\frac{41.92}{16} = 147127$	2429 - 2428 = 042. = 2293636.64 244 111 2429 - 2429 - 2293636.64 244 111 2429 - 2429 - 2354 - 2355 - 2354 - 2354 - 2354 - 23	$F_{x} = Q_{y} = 2294 5907$ $F_{x} = 2294 5907$ $S = 945907$ $S = 94590 561 119$ $S = 90 561 119$ $S = 90 561 119$ $S = 90 69$ $S = 14705au$ $S = 14705au$ $S = 1705au = T_{y}$	$M_{0} = Q_{px} = 2283284.69 374 134 M_{0} = Q_{px} = 2283284.69 374 134 = 2283284.69 374 134 = 3283284.69 374 134 = 3283284.69 374 134 = 14.80 = 325 = 517.51 = 14.80 = 517.51 = 14.80 = 14.$	2436 = apr. = 229 2764 30 = apr. = 229 2764 942764 942764 94199.32 39 15.17 15.1
	1000 2.45 = 12.7m.			

(aver)	(A) = main 1 = 1 = 1 = 1 = 1
	apr 20.68 = F.M.B.C.T.
	april 19- april 354 55.22 619 520
	e 2450 - Quri - 2287650 × Tu 2287650 × 184
	: Mar 31 = 1 minur = (
a	Chur 12.21 = F.M.B.C.T. Mar 29.27 = C.
	32.21 918.27
10. ml	april-Mar 3/= 354 916.96 702 512 716.75 .38 14.80
1.49 = 14. Per.	Bu 2 2 8 3 2 8 4 . 69 37 4 134 3 6 3 2 . 26 3 28 3 7 8
73	C 2402 = 2286 3636 (889)
	: apr + = 1 nisan = (E)
	april 7 = 14 nisau
C.	24
. 1 1 1 1 1	
1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	04015 13 april 354 15.53 141 515 824.82
2.79 = Tr, Pet.	WA 2283284.67 374 134 2539.63 67 381
2.00	2455 = Q.W. = 2285824 V
	: apr 15 = 1 nisan = (Mo)
	apr 26.99 = F.M.B.C.T. apr 28 = 14 nieur
	59.99
	5469.95 497 527
	Tu 2283284.69 374 134 2185-26 123 393
	2456 = apr = 2285459 /
	: apr 7 = 1 nism = D
	apr 19.37 = 1.17.13.6.1.
	20.37
	bis 08.5 bg.15 H
	30 22847281 374 -34 30 22847281 69 374 -34
w V	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

$\frac{2439}{14} = 0 \text{ fr.} = 2291668 \text{ fr.} = 2291668 \text{ fr.} = 374 134 \\ \frac{671.38}{15.54} \frac{271.38}{521} \frac{571}{518} \\ \frac{87.37}{68} \frac{521}{521} \frac{518}{518} \\ \frac{97.37}{68} \frac{87.37}{518} \\ \frac{68}{521} \frac{518}{518} \\ \frac{13}{518} \frac{134}{518} \\ \frac{13}{518} \frac{13}{518} \\ \frac{13}{518$	2 + 4 = 0 + 1 = 0 + 1 = 1 + 1 = 0 + 1 = 0 + 1 = 0 + 1 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 = 0 =	$W = Q_{pr.} = 2289842 / W = Q_{pr.} = 2289842 / 22892842 / 840.48 374 134 840.48 742 513 14.33 14.33 55.87 42.87 = F.M.B.C.T. Q_{pr.} 15 = 14716eut 1. Q_{pr.} 2 = 1 714eut = W$	$2447 = apr = 2288746 x 3a = apr = 2288746 x \frac{2288746}{2288746} = 374 = 134 \\ \frac{747.85}{15.85} = 481 = 517 \\ \frac{63.91}{46.9} = 14 \\ \frac{63.91}{46.9} = 14 \\ \frac{63.91}{46.9} = 14 \\ \frac{13}{14} = 14 \\ \frac{14}{14} = 1$	$2 + 48 = Cp. = 2288381 \cdot 697$ $Pn = Cp. = 2288381 \cdot 697$ $2 + 83384 \cdot 6937 + 134$ $3 + 355 + 68 \cdot 79 + 163 + 194$ $3 + 16 + 17 + 17$
--	---	---	---	---

2454 = May 0 =	2935 2286219		
Th	2283284.69 374 184 2923.53 40 02		
2455 apr 4 - apr 23 = 384	208,22 414 136 15.39 29		
	224.03		
	19 War 5.03 = F.M. B.C.T.		
	May 6 = 14 Nibark		
	: apr 23 = 1 Nibar =		
2453- = april 0	3271		
7	2283284,69 374 134		
	562.59 758 524		
apr 23 - apr 11 = 354	12.08		
4	78.11		
	abr 23.11 = F.M.B.C.T.	Ň	
	apr 24 = 14 nisau		
	i. apr 11 = 1 nitare =		
V DAL OF	4031		
M M	2283284.69 374 134		
2452	300.85 675 532		
Mar 31 - apr 19 = 384	14.80		
	-13		
	15 EN007		
	May 2 = 14 nisau		
	is april 19 = 1 nisare =		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
2449- = may 0	= 2288046		
W ,	2283284.69 374 134 4754.42 218 7		
2450	8039.11 592 141 15.17		
apr 8 - apr 26 = 384	· 28 · 13	*	
	54.69		
	May 8.69 = F.M. B.C.T.		
	May 9 = 14 nisans	· · · · ·	+
	in cept 26 = 1 nisar =		
242904210-	1465		
Su	2293856.64 244 111		
	333.17 478 128		
	15.61		
	-13		
	21	•	
	apr 28. 21 = Prings. C. 1.		
	:: apr 16 = 1 misar =		

Noah enters	s ark in <u>Full Moon</u>	ation of Pioses)	
YEAR 600	3	DLAR YEAR	PERIODS
~		(4	0+150) days + 25 weeks
(1)_ Tishri	Rosh Hashana 30		C
(2) Hesvan	29 16	19th Dain) 10 days pain
(3) Kisleu	30[27		40 adys rain
		"But upon the eighth day, dark clouds over-	
(4) Tebet	29	spread the heavens Soon large drops of	Т
(5) Shebat	30	rain began to fall."	L.
(G) Adar	29		> 150 days = 5 lunar months
(7) Nisan	Rosh Hodesh 16	17th Ark rests	(28 Kisleu - 29 Iyar inclusive)
(8) Iyar	29		190th day = end of
(9) Sivan	30		30 days
(10) Tammuz	29	Tops of moun-	10 weeks
(II) Ab	(10	tains seen	40 aays/
	30	11th Raven 18th Dove 1	$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 40 \text{th} \text{day} & \Pi \\ 7 \text{day} \end{array}\right)$
(12) Elul	29	and Dove 2	7th 3weeks ending on
354	Days 27	Ella Dove o	and Elui
(1) Tishri (e	Rosh Hashana 30 29	Goist year	28 days= 4 weeks (3Elul-ITishri inc.)
(2) Hesvan	27	Noah leaves ark in seed-time and 175th day of II	(2 Tishri - 27 Hesvan inc.) New Moon
	365	Days	365 Days

THE FLOOD CALENDAR

7. - 2349, Gprif, Bab. Tr. Per. =
$$2^{4}71$$

(a) = 23° still $\lambda = 7.5$ ($7^{2} 30'$) $\beta = + 0.8$ ($+0^{2} + 8'$)
1. Sin $\delta = 34.0$ w sind cards + cod w sin(β
1. Arg sin $\omega = 9.607.60.68$. Arg cod $\omega = 19.9510.668$
Arg sin $\lambda = 9.115.69.77$. Arg tan $\beta = 8.11449.8520$
Arg cet $\beta = 9.949.95.77$. Brite $\beta = 8.11449.8520$
 $3.0252.649$. Solve $\beta = 10.127.649$
 $3.0252.649$. Solve $\beta = 9.106.6020$
 $0.127.649$. Solve $\beta = 9.106.6200$
 $0.127.649$. Solve $\beta = 3.1449.8500$
 $0.127.649$. Solve $\beta = 3.1449.8500$. Solve $\beta = 3.1416.658$
Arg sin $\lambda = 9.007.0088$ Arg code $\omega = 9.9610.668$
Arg sin $\lambda = 9.007.0088$ Arg code $\omega = 9.9610.668$
Arg sin $\lambda = 9.072.874$. Arg sin $\beta = 3.987.8729$. Arg 20.274
 $0.102.0162$. $0.037.887.8$. $0.87.82.74$
 $3.037.827.49$. $0.87.82.74$. $0.87.82.74$
 $3.057.827.49$. $3.3(3^{2}.18^{2})$ $(\beta + 1.56(4.9^{2}.86^{2}))$
1. Sin $\delta = 3.00.8500.85$ Arg code $\omega = 9.9610.668$
Arg sin $\lambda = 9.007.0088$ Arg code $\omega = 9.9610.668$
 $\delta = 0^{2}.837.874$. $\delta = 0^{2}.837.860.974$. $\delta = 0.258.876$. $\delta = 0.944.8657$. $\delta = 0.258.876$. $\delta = 0.806.88_{1}$. $\delta = 0.807.102.8740$. δ

102474, apr 15, Bab. Tr. Per. = 2.88	. Contraction
$\omega = 23^{\circ}54' \Lambda = 9^{\circ}1 (9^{\circ}6') \beta = +4^{\circ}6' ($	+ + ° 36')
1. sind = sin w sind cos B + cos w sin B	2 243K -1
$1. \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$	68 85 53 22
$8ind = .13719,22 = 1098in 9.1373295 = \frac{7^{\circ}53'7''}{\delta}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7+4, \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$
and a state of the section of the se	
("or "a finant - h) ("an and athe a finant	<u>10,0</u> = 00
all the state - all it. she want	42 p. y
(se tothe set of the set of the	the second
and the same to be a second and a second and and	
	AP PHA
The second s	

7 2349	apr 13/6:	00 p.m. Tr. J	er. = 2.71	Bab.	
(-234	56+77)	1		· (2+0+14)	
Cycle	4 1	I	N	8	
- 2356	224.5	17	26.3	220.7	03192-
Цп. 7	198.9	125	75.2	135.4	and workers
apr 0	105.9	299	27.2	4.8	The second
Day 13	171.3	147	2.0	0.7	THE CONNECTION
Hr	9.9	. 8		8,9	TH
Tab. B, arg. I	0.2	236	130.7	1.6	Low A date
	L 355.7	9 126	355.7	7.5 K	1
Tab. C. L. arg.	9.11.2	II 2	9126.	9 u	and have have
LI IF IL B /	II_0.6		0	2 II	that is a related
I	- A 7.5	Tab C, Grqu	= + 0.7	178 u-]	L
		W. W. W. T.	T.F. 10.1	and the second second	
		1.12 + 2 8	B + 0.8		
		-			
8 2.449	Chr. 16	ciana Tr	Por - 27	x- 12.0	
0. ~!!!!	- apr. 10,	5.00 p.m	1 1 200 2.1	5 15000.	
2	480 7 33	/			
Cycle	L	I	N	g .	
- 0400	2277	100	000	0100	
102 22	221.0	120	32.9	342.2	
- 91. 55	1059	200	7 (1	2(0,0	
Dou 16	210.8	191	17	7.0	
- Day 10	9.9	101	1.4 [0.0	
Tol B Grat	.2	206	1589	266.1	
100.10 and 2	1 359.5	0 158	1 359 5	14.0 %	
Takel' Que	0 85	9 <u>100</u>	0 158	201 11	
11 11 11 11 11	TT 6.8		9 1 50	4 TT	
	14.8 7	Tak C Geo II	= - 5.2	277 11-	TT
		11 11 11 22-	$-TT = - \cdot 3$	~ 1 /	dala .
			B = -5.5		
~	à.	0 1			
4 24	54, apr 4	, 12 eb.	In Ter. = 2.	77	
(- :	2480 + 26)			
. Cycle	L	I	N	θ	
-2480	337.6	120	329	342.2	
Ur. 2.6	203.0	182	22.0	142.9	
abr O	105.9	299	27.2	4.8	
Day 4	52.7	45	3.0	0.2	
Hr.	9.9	8			
Tab. B. Gro. T	0.7	334	85.1	130.1	
incide) and of	L 349.8	9 75	L 349.8	3.3 /	
Tab. C. L' arg	9 12.4	TT 49	9 75	133 LL	
11 11 11 11	TT_1.1		0	49	
	1 3.3	Tab. C, arg. u	= + 3.6	84 u-	IL
		1, 11 11 U-	TT = 0.0		
			$\beta = \pm 3.6$		

10 24.	74, april	15 6:00 pm.	Tr. Per. = 2	.88. 1 Ba	6. C. T
(-2	480+6)			())	and a start of the
Cycle	L	II	M	8	Cycle
-2480	337.60	120	32.9	342.2	- 2356 3
. yr. 6	69.5	314	115.9	1 100	VIL T
apro	105.9	299	27.2	4.8	Dian g
Day 15	197.6	170	1.81	30.8	Elint
Hr	9.9	8	5	F.F.	the state
Tab. B. arg. Il	0 11.0	1915	177.8	103.8	Tob. M. Brand
1	L 1.5	· 9 179	L_1.5	9.1 1	
Tab. C. L. arg.	9 6.4	II 70	9179	113 U	Tale, C. Lancard
11 11 11 11 2 T	Ĭ 1.2		0	70 II	5 1 10 10 10
11.	- 1 9.1	Tab. C. arg. U	= + 4.6	43 u-	П
		" " " " IT.	-H = 0.0		
		(A + 5)	B = + 4.6		

			1	. Gyele
 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	P.E.2	12.2		DEPL- EE AD
- 21K	A MARK	AN C 1 GA		- Day 16
146-5	Lange I	5 A 1		Inopaat
		The T		120120F
		1 8-0 7.60	r <u>avei</u> A	

3. - LATA Ward John million A. 18

	M		1	. Gyelle
		T 256 24	1 E.J.F .	
1 R.H. 1	2.5			
			5217	
		8		
1.081				I.S.M. E.S.M.
	5-8-2-2			
				THE C L GAR
		and the second s	I.I. I	
		st. pro J. dat	Ent And	

4. 24. 24. 24. 24. 24. 24. 24. 24. 24. 2	1. $hag sin w = 9.6076068$ hag sin A = 8.9815729 m hag cos (3 = 9.99811116 m) 03866257 m 03866257 m 03866257 m 03850857 m	$w = 23^{\circ} 5^{\circ} 4'$ $\Lambda = 35^{\circ} 4^{\circ}$. 1. sind = sin w sin $\Lambda \cos \beta +$	-5.0 -5.4 = -5.1 2373, apr. 8, Bab.	$\begin{aligned} & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & $	W = 28° 54' A = 346.4 = 1. ain 8 = ain w sin A cos 3 + 1. hag ain w = 9.6076068 A	$\sin \delta =1463325$ 2451, Mar. 31, Bab. 17, 1	1. Lag sin (1) = 9.6076068 / Lag cor (3 = 9.9986542 Lag cor (3 = 9.9986542 9.3388480m	2397, apr. p, Bab. 1r. 12, W = 23° 54' / A = 329.3 (1. sin d = sin w sin h cos 2. cos d sin d = cos w sin h cos 3. cos d cos a = cos (3 cos h
	Lag eas w = 9.9610668 Lag sin (3 = 8.9687897 7 0850857 0850857 0850857	5 (5° 30'm) 3=-5°34=-5°20'2	Tr. Per. = 1.79.	$\frac{a_{g}^{2} \sin \beta}{2} = \frac{8.0200207}{7.9810875}$ $- \frac{009575}{2095042}$ $- \frac{5.1}{6^{\circ} 1.03}$ $- \frac{5.1}{-5.7}$	$= (13^{\circ} 36'_{n}) (3 = -0.6 = -0^{\circ} 36'_{n})$ $+ \cos w \sin \beta$ $+ \cos w \sin \beta$	$= \lambda_{aq} \sin q. 16.53 H 08 m = (-12.9 in 166H 0 Per. = 1.49.Per. = 1.49.$	$\log \cos w = 9.9610668$ $\log \sin (3 = \frac{8.89544951}{8.8565119}$.0718641	a = 1.12 $(32^{\circ} + 2^{\circ}) (3 = + + \frac{3}{15^{\circ}} = + \frac{3}{10^{\circ}} = \frac{3}{10^{\circ}}$ $(3 + \cos w \sin (3))$ $(3 - \sin w \sin (3))$

	17 - 24	00+2) 10	reposed glood	yach.	
Cifele 1	L.	I	N	2	
- 2400	15-1.7	310	17.0	89.7	
year 3	28.1	152	238.0	58.0	
apr. 0	105.9	299	27.2	4.8	
Day 3	39.5	34	3.1	. 0.2	
ble 13, arg. IL	1.7	25	285.3	152.7	add
	L 326.9	9 2.52	L 326.9	327.3 K	
. C. L' arg. q	•4	II 277	9252	120 U =	= K + 8 36
Grg. II	.0	1		277 II	
1 h	327:3			203 = 4	- <u>II</u>
		Tob. C Gra. 1	1 = +4.3		
		Em B Que 21	- 11 .2		
		i or for any a	Q = + 11° +=		
			()=++.>		
2. 177	is kaid B.	lus 230=	Chr. 8 6	:00 pm. B.	of TPP-
(-2373-	-2400+2	7) Prolo	12 10-1 11	angle
Cycle	L	I	N	a groom eg	lorn,
-2400	151.7	310	17.0	89.7	
yr. 27	332.4	352	341.3	162.2	
Aprilo	105.9	299	27.2	4.8	
Day 8	105.4 _	91	2.6	0.4	
towl 6 p.m.l	9,9	8	201		
ab. 12, ling. 1	1 346.1	340	1 28.1	257.1	add
abei on	50	TT 2 KU	0 14 2	257.5 A	
Goo T	T 0.5	1.257	y 17.2	354 TT	
- may a	1 354.5			258 = 11	- 71
		Tab. C. Grg. U	= - 5.04		
		n " " " 'u-	Π=3		
			= - 5.34		
		- +	STATE BARMANAN CONTRACTOR		
3. Maoris	hand B	for - 2451	Mar. 31 6	:00 p.m. B	ak. Tr.P=1
(- 2451 -	= - 2480 +	29) Prol	insed blood	shoel.
Curle	1 -	T	N	georde	1
you !	~	1	14	,	
-2480	337.6	120	32.9	342.2	
yr. 29	244.4	344	259.9	200.9	
Marcho	57.4	308	30.6	3.1	
111	48.5	3,57	0.0	1,6	
Day 31	9.9	8			
Day 31 Hour 6 p.m		51	323,4	187.8	add
Hour 6 pm Tab B ang I	2.1	51	1		00.000
Day 31 Hour 6 pin Tab B ang I	2.1 L 339.9	9 303	L 339.9	346, M K	
Day 31 Hour 6 pm Tab B arg I b. C. L', arg.	2.1 L 339.9 g 0.9	9 <u>303</u> II 354 (I+5	L <u>339.9</u>) g 303.3 (L+	N) 174,2 U	L (L+0)
Day 31 Hour 6 pim Tab B arg I b. C. L', arg. " " arg	$\begin{array}{c} 2.1 \\ L 339.9 \\ g & 0.9 \\ H & 5.6 \end{array}$	<u>9 303</u> II 354 (I+;	L <u>339.9</u>) g 303.3 (L+	<u>346,4</u> N) 174,2 U <u>354</u> II	L (L+0)
Day 31 Hour 6 pm Tab B Grg I ib. C, L', Grg. "" " Grg	$ \begin{array}{r} 2.1 \\ L 339.9 \\ g 0.9 \\ \overline{H} 5.6 \\ \Lambda 346.4 \\ \end{array} $	9 <u>303</u> II 354 (I+;	<u>L 339.9</u> g 303.3 (L+	<u>346,4</u> N) 174,2 u <u>354</u> II 180 u	L (2+0) L L = II

4. -2384, Max 20, Rap. Tr. Per. = 2.84

$$w = 23^{\circ} 84'$$
 $(=334.5 (-0^{\circ} 50') (3 = +4^{\circ} 24' + 4)^{\circ}$
1. Sind = 31m w sin h cred 4 cred w sind
1. Ang sin w = 9.6076068 hag cred w = 9.9610668
hag cos ($g = 3.8471.81$ hag sin ($g = 8.84840.81$
 $hag cos ($g = 3.8471.81$ hag sin ($g = 8.84840.81$
 $hag cos ($g = 3.8471.81$ hag sin ($g = 8.84840.81$
 $hag cos ($g = 3.8471.81$ hag sin ($g = 8.84840.81$
 $hag cos ($g = 3.8471.81$ hag sin ($g = 8.84840.81$
 $hag cos ($g = 3.8471.81$ hag sin ($g = 8.98840.81$
 $hag cos ($g = 3.8471.81$ hag sin ($g = 8.98840.81$
 $hag cos ($g = 3.8471.81$ hag sin ($g = 8.98840.81$ hag sin ($g = 9.946106.81$
hag sin $h = 9.6076068$ hag cas $w = 9.9610668$
hag sin $h = 9.6076068$ hag cas $w = 9.9610668$
hag cos ($g = 9.69760.82$ hag cas $w = 9.9610668$
hag cos ($g = 9.69760.82$ hag cas $w = 9.9610668$
hag cos ($g = 9.69760.82$ hag cas $w = 9.9610668$
hag cos ($g = 9.60760.82$ hag cas $w = 9.9610668$
hag cos ($g = 9.60760.82$ hag cos $w = 9.9610668$
hag sin $w = 9.60760.84$ hag cos $w = 9.9610668$
hag sin $w = 9.60760.84$ hag cos $w = 9.9610668$
hag sin $w = 9.60760.84$ hag cos $w = 9.9610668$
hag sin $w = 9.60760.84$ hag cos $w = 9.9610668$
hag sin $w = 9.60760.84$ hag cos $w = 9.9610668$
hag sin $w = 9.60760.84$ hag cos $w = 9.9610668$
hag cos $\beta = 9.991.820.84$ hag cos $w = 9.9610668$
hag cos $\beta = 9.991.820.84$ hag cos $w = 9.9610668$
hag cos $\beta = 9.991.820.84$ hag cos $w = 9.9610668$
hag cos $\beta = 9.991.820.84$ hag cos $w = 9.961.0668$
hag cos $\beta = 9.991.820.84$ hag cos $w = 9.961.0668$
hag cos $\beta = 9.991.820.84$ hag cos $w = 9.961.0668$
hag cos $\beta = 9.991.820.84$ hag cos $\beta = 9.991.820.875.875$
has $\beta = -3.850.23.84$ hag cin $9.54.94.875.875$
has $\beta = -3.950.23.84$ hag cin $\beta = 5.94.875.875$ hag cin $\beta = -30.562.75$
has have cin $\beta = -3.950.83.84$ hag cin $\beta =$$$$$$$$

8	84.7	22 22 20 20 - 00		9	53 S	8 359.5 1 add	63 U	13 Ⅱ	4 50 u-II		<u>.</u> 6:00 p.m. Bab. T.P. = 3.08		a vode	318.6	73.0	0.1	0.5		36.9	7. 4	14 m	80 H-TT	+ × 1 n - 11			6:00 p.m. Bab. T. P. = 2.45		0	318.6	73.0	1.8	0.3	~ >0	and the add	343 U	254 IL 200 1 H	
N	0.0.1	20.2	0.	2	7 2	1 346.5	9 63	7	1++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	2010	Cor. 10,		Z	7.4	131.6	27.2	2.3		168.8	L 358.8	9 168		11	-II = + 0.	0=+3	apr. 5	0	Z	4.4	131.6	27.2	2.9		1 1.94	9 103	>	
Ð	210	30.00	340	00	310	0 63	H 13		Tab. C, ang u	- n u -	01 - 2403	41)	Н	2.42	265	299	113	8	207	9 168	<u>й</u> 15		Tab.C. arou	n n n n		1 tor-2427	(2)	T	2.4.2	265	299	6.9.	do	101 0	H 254		
1 1	1.101	42.2	0.10	000	8.748	12.	6.	359.5			A and 3;	2444 + 1	_	74.0	36.5	105.9	131.8	9.9	2.	358.8	3 7.5	1. 4. 4				hand B	- 7 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		74.0	36.5	105.9	65.9	6.6	2.94.0	12.3	H . 100	
Cycle	20017	Maxo	Dou 30	Hr. 6:00pm.	Topin' crapi	Tak. C. L' ano. o	I and I	Y	x.		5. Nooris	1	Cyle	- 2444	Ur HI	dpr. 0	Day 10	Hr. 6:00pm]	Tab. B. Org. I		Jab. C, L', ang.	1 11 11				6. Mooris	-)	· Cycle	-2444	Yr. 17	dar.o	D'ay 5	Hr. 6:00 p.m.	1 avis, curd 1	ab. C. L' ang. 9	<u>и</u> и и и и	V

"and after all, the coming of the flood was the starting of the new epear, regardless of the moon count." Winlock, 454

"The divergences [betweene Babyloniane and Hebrew account of the flood] are so numerous and so serious as it malse it evident that meither has been capied from the other. "- 10. H. Green, p. 122.

"The suggestion of Friedrich Delitech and of Haupt, that the story was first adopted by the Sews at the time of the Babylonials capturity, is very justly repetled by Balmader and Dillmone and two distinct grounds. 1. 'It is utterly insupposable that the Jews should have appropriated from their face, the Babylonians, a local traditione allogether foreign to thereselves originally, and saturated by the most silly polytheisne."-

" Perfect harmony" in flood reclaring - Witt. green, p. 92.

"By this are concession [critics] Jis not complete. His quealogy from adam to roach is any preserved in part. His account of building the arls and of roadie leaving at have been anitted, R not judging it necessary to repeat from I what he had already inserted from P."- Wild, green, 93 "The statement is not that the flood continued to increase for one hundred and gifty days, but that previously reached its full bright, it continued at its maximum until that time, recharded from its beginning, and their decreased for seven months and ten days, when the earth was day."- Wild, green, p. 93,

"an abvious solution of the whole matter, and are against which no serious abjection can be might, is that abraham brought with time to Consear substantially that conceptions of pinnevel hesting which subsequently formed part of the faith of his descendants. There is not the slighest reason for the arbumption that this was a part - Mosaire addition to Iaraels for creed." - W. H. Green, p. 124.

Crities engen to discover a numerical correspondence in the flood record, and this influenced LXX to change 17th to 27th in VII. 11, thereby malong flood to continue classly a geore W. H. green, p. 122.

1.900



Nov 14 = ap 1 15 = 34 10 = 4 19 = 4 19 = 5 12.959

13.02



mont, Jost, and Mommsen are based upon the method of computating the reigns of the Roman emperors. Certain coins issued in the fourth consulate of Nero (60 A.D.) read Tribun. Potest. VII.⁸⁵ If 60eA.D. was the 7th year of Nero, then his 12th year must have been in 65 A.D. Josephus twice states that the Jewish Revolt broke out in Nerolst12th year, and he gives the date as 17 Artemisius, the Syro-Macedonian name for the Jewish spring month Lyar.⁸⁷

ancient

Costius was fighting with the heavy 12th legion, which necessitated a periodic retirement from battle. Commonly, the "rests" took place at week ends, when the Jews were not supposed to fight. But at the very outbreak of this war with Rome, the seditious Jews fought on their Sabbath day (II.17.10). When Cestius finally reach Lydda, "he found the city empty of its men, for the whole multitude were gone up to Jerusalem to the feast of tabernacles" (II.19.1). This is what followed:

"But as for the Jews, when they saw the war approaching to their metropolis, they left the feast, and betook themselves to their arms; and taking courage greatly from their multitude, went in a sudden and disorderly manner to the fight, with a great noise, and without any consideration had of the rest of the seventh day, although the Sabbath was the day to which they had the greatest regard; but that rage which made them forget the religious observation (of the Sabbath) made them too hard for their enemies in the fight."⁸⁸

Thus, according to Josephus, the feast of tabernacles coincided with the Jewish Sabbath in the 12th year of Nerge which was the year 65 A.D. From lunar Table II, the calendar data for the year 65 A.D. are as follows:

> 1 Nisan = Thursday; therefore 15 Tisri = Saturday. (For this reckoning, cf. Table V.)

This synchronal dating could apply either to the first or eighth day of Taburacles; Fieri; but it is probable, as Josephus suggests, and as Cestius also discovered, that the Jews went up early to the feast to arouse their brethren on account of the approaching Roman army, and that they left on the first Sabbath to attack Cestius at Bethhoron about eight miles to the northwest of

⁸⁵ Eckhel, "Doctrina Numorum," VI, p. 264; Cohen, XXXII-XXXIX; Mommsen, "Staats echt," recht," pp. 752-754. ⁸⁶ Josephus, "Wars," II.19.9; II.14.4. Whiston. Cincinnati, 1844. ⁸⁷ ⁸⁸ Josephus, "Wars," II.19.2. [Italies mine.]

Jerusalem.

3. The Year in John 5. -- By the same method of reckoning as the foregoing, the feast date in John 5 can be computed. The Sabbath healing of the impotent man on a Jewish feast represents the basis of the calendar problem. The solution is simple. If the incident occurred on a 14-Nisan Sabbath, then 1 Nisan would be Sunday (Table IV), But if the incident occurred on 15 or 22 Tisri as the Sabbath, then 1 Nisan would have to be Thursday in that year. We know that the feast date in John 5 must have occurred between the first passover (John 2) and the feast of the Jews in John 6, which itself was without doubt a spring by both Mark and John. The surface festival on account of the abundant green grass described. By consulting Table I during the crucifixion period, we find two dates to be commind:

a. 28 A.D. -- April 15 -- Thursday = 1 Nisan

b. 30 A.D. -- March 26 -- Sunday = 1 Nisan.

The first date--1 Nisan on Thursday, 28 A.D.--would be followed by a Sabbath --30 A.D.-feast of tabernacles on 15 and 22 Tisri (Cf. Table V). The second date is altogether out, for it occurred after the feast in John 5. Hence 28 A.D. must be the year to which the feast in John 5 belongs. And it could not have been together festival, for in the whole crucifixion period, there is no 1 Nisan year on Sunday except, the crucifi and 30 A.D.

There are ten or twelve important synchronisms in Ezra and Nehemiah, from that which one is selected that aids in establishing the year the wall was built.

4. <u>Nehemiah Finishes the Wall</u>.--The regnal years of the fifth century B.C. are fully established by the double-dated Assuan papyri. Nehemiah speaks several times with reference to the 20th year of Aratxerxes, and it is known at once that this year, according to Jewish counting from fall to fall, coincided with the Julian year 445-444 B.C.⁸⁹ In the spring of 444 B.C., Nehemiah came to Jerusalem, and was eventually appointed governor by the people, without doubt the same year. Then he built the wall in 52 days, finishing the work

(Nel. 6:15).

K

summerof the on 25 Elul, The problem is to demonstrate whether the wall was built in the, year 444 B.C., or in the following summer. (For this problem cf. Table IV.)

 $\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \hline \text{The Fifty-two Days} \\ \hline \\ 444 & \text{B.C.} \\ \hline \\ S & \text{Ab} \\ \hline \\ 3 & -4 & -5 & -6 & -7 & -8 & -9 & -10 & -11 & -12 & -13 & -14 & -15 & -16 & -17 & -18 & -8 \\ \hline \\ 19 & -20 & -21 & -22 & -23 & -24 & -25 & -26 & -27 & -28 & -29 & -30 & -1 & -2 & -8 \\ \hline \\ 3 & -4 & -5 & -6 & -7 & -8 & -9 & -10 & -11 & -12 & -13 & -14 & -15 & -16 & -17 & -1 \\ \hline \\ 8 & -19 & -20 & -21 & -22 & -23 & -24 & -25 & (\text{Tues}) \end{array}$

Demonstration 10:

