# BIBLE EXAMINER.

"PROVE ALL THINGS, HOLD FAST THAT WHICH IS GOOD."

VOL. III.

## PHILADELPHIA, APRIL, 1848.

#### No. 4.

GEORGE STORRS, EDITOR AND PUBLISHEE. J. T. WALSH, RICHMOND, VA., ASSISTANT EDITOR.

PUBLISHED MONTHLY, AT 21 N. SIXTH STREET, PHILADELPHIA, PA.

TERMS. -- Single copy, for one year, fifty cents; five copies, \$2; eight copies, \$3; or thirteen copies, \$5; ALWAIS IN ADVANCE.

IF This paper is subject to newspaper postage only.

# THE KINGDOM OF GOD.-NO. V. THE THRONE OF DAVID.

In our last article on this subject we showed that Jehovah had "made an everlästing covenant with David," in reference to his throne and kingdom, "ordered in all things and sure." We shall now proceed to show that David's throne will be the throne of the world; and that David's son will sit thereon, and reign as "King of kings and Lord of lords."

And, first, permit us to state that David's throne is not in heaven above, and that, consequently, the Messiah, David's son, is not now sitting on it. David's "crown" has been "profaned," and "cast down to the ground ;" and, surely, no one will contend that these phenomena took place in heaven! Besides, it must be obvious to the most ignorant that David never reigned in heaven; which he must have done, if, indeed, his throne be there, or, else, he reigned without sitting on it! But Jesus is not on the throne of his father David, for that throne has not been in existence for more than two thousand vears! Neither is the Messiah, as some suppose, sitting on his own throne, for he has no throne except David's; and he is the only rightful heir to it. Jesus himself, however, settles this question, for he says: "To him that overcometh will I grant to sit with me on MY THRONE, even as I also overcame, and am sit down with my Father on HIS THRONE." Rev. 3: 21. We learn from this testimony that Jesus is now sitting "on" his "Father's throne :" and he promises that those who "overcome" shall sit "with him on his throne." The Messiah, then, has never yet reigned as King—as the Son of God; and as mediator between God and man, he "sits at the right hand of the Majesty on high, waiting till his foes be made his footstool." Moreover, he promised his apostles that, when he should "sit on the throne of his glory, they, also, should sit upon twelve thrones, judging the twelve tribes of Israel." It is impossible, therefore, that He can now be sit-ting on "his throne," for the twelve apostles are slumbering in the dust of death, and the twelve tribes are in the dispersion. Before they can sit on twelve thrones, judging the twelve tribes, they must be restored—the Messiah must be revealed from Heaven—the "tabernacle of David" rebuilt—his throne erected, and the Lord Jesus must be exalted hereafter speak, "which came against Jerusalem

to it-then the twelve apostles, being raised from the dead, will sit on twelve thrones, judging or ruling the restored tribes of Israel. Then the prediction of Isaiah, concerning the reign of Messiah, will be fulfilled: "Then the moon shall be contounded, and the sun ashamed, when the Lord of hosts shall reign on Mount Zion, and in Jerusalem, and before (or in the midst of) his *ancients* gloriously." Isaiah 24: 23. David, in reference to this time, says: "The Lord is King for ever and ever; the heathen have perished out of his land." The Messiah is to have "the heathen for his inheritance, and the uttermost parts of the earth for his possession." "He shall judge thy people with righteousness, and thy poor with judgment. The mountains shall bring peace to the people, and the little hills by righteousness. He (the Messiah) shall judge the poor of the people, he shall save the children of the needy, and shall break in pieces the oppressor. They shall fear thee (O Messiah) as long as the sun and moon endure, throughout all generations. He shall come down like rain upon the mown grass: as showers that water the earth. In his days shall THE RIGHT-EOUS FLOURISH; and abundance of peace so long as the moon endureth. He shall have DOMINION also FROM SEA TO SEA, and from the river to the ends of the earth. They that dwell in the wilderness shall BOW BEFORE HIM; AND HIS ENEMIES SHALL LICK THE DUST. THE KINGS OF TARSHISH AND OF THE ISLES SHALL BRING PRESENTS: THE KINGS OF SHEBA AND SEBA SHALL OFFER GIFTS. YES, ALL KINGS SHALL'FALL DOWN BEFORE HIM: ALL NA-YES, ALL KINGS TIONS SHALL SERVE HIM. And he shall live, and to him shall be given of the gold of Sheba; prayer also shall be made for him continually; and daily shall he he praised. His name shall endure forever : his name shall be continued as long as the sun: and men shall be blessed in him : ALL NATIONS SHALL CALL HIM BLESSED." 72d Psalm. This will, be the fulfilment of the promise made to Abraham that "in him, and his sEED ALL NATIONS should be blessed."

Again, the Psalmist says: "All nations whom thou hast made shall come and worship before thee, O Lord, and shall glorify thy name." Ps. 86: 9.

From the above testimony, and much more which might be introduced, for the Bible is full of it, it is evident that in "the age to come," the "throne of David" will be the throne of the world; and that Messiah's dominion will extend over all countries republics, kingdoms and empires : that his authority will be acknowledged by all kings, monarchs, and emperors; and that every knee shall bow, and every tongue shall confess that he is Lord, to the glory of God the Father." "And the Lord," says Zechariah, "will be king over ALL THE EARTH: in that day will there be ONE LORD, and his NAME ONE." Chap. 14:

shall even go up from year to year to worship the King, the Lord of hosts, and to keep the feast of tabernacles," which will be the antilype of the Jewish feast. "And it shall be, that whoever will not come up of all the families of the earth TO JERUSALEM TO WORSHIP THE KING, the Lord of hosts, even upon them shall be no rain. And if the family of Egypt shall not go up, and shall not come, that have no rain; there shall be the plague, with which the Lord will smite the heathen that come not up to keep the feast of tabernacles. This shall be the punishment of Egypt, and the punishment of all nations that come not up to keep the feast of tabernacles."

Here we have several important truths presented to our consideration :

1. That in the age to come, when Jerusalem shall be the metropolis of the world, and Messiah shall be reigning on the throne of his Father David, "all nations shall go up to Jerusalem to worship the King, the Lord of hosts." We are not, however, to understand that every person who shall then compose the nations of the earth will go up to Jerusalem, (although the increased facilities for travelling might render even this practicable;) but, that all nations, by their Representatives, should go up to worship the Lord. Who these representatives shall be, will claim our attention hereafter.

2. We have the punishment which shall be inflicted upon the nation, or nations, that shall refuse to go up to Mount Zion to worship the King, the Lord of hosts—"they shall have no rain."

3. But as this would be no punishment to Egypt, seeing they have no rain, special provision is made for them as a nation—they are to have the "plague." Thus we have indicated a part of the policy, which will obtain, nationally, in the age to come.

Let the reader remember the quotation from the Psalms, which declares that "the kings of Sheba and Seba shall bring presents, and offer gifts;" and then read the following in reference to the glory of Mount Zion and Jerusalem, when that state of things to which we have adverted, shall obtain: "And the Gentiles shall come to thy light, and kings to the brightness of thy rising. Lift up thy eyes around, and see; all they assemble themselves, they come to thee: thy sons shall come from far, and thy daughters shall be nursed at thy side. Then thou shalt see, and flow together, and thy heart shall fear, and be enlarged; because the abundance of the sea shall be converted (or turned) to thee, the forces (or wealth) of the Gentiles shall come to thee. The multitude of camels shall cover thee, the dromedaries of Midian and Ephah; all they from Sheba shall come: they shall bring gold and incense; and they shall show forth the praises of the Lord. All the flocks of Kedar shall be gathered to thee; they shall come up with acceptance on my altar, and I (the Lord) will glorify the house of my glory. Surely the isles shall wait for me (the Lord of hosts) and the ships of Tarshish first, to bring thy sons (O Zion) from far; their silver and their gold with them, to the name of the Lord thy God, and to the Holy One of Israel, because he hath glorified thee," O Jerusalem! "And the sons of strangers shall build up thy walls, and their kings shall minister to thee: for in my wrath I smote thee, but in my favour have I had mercy on thee. Therefore thy gates shall be open continually; they shall not be shut day nor night; that men may bring to thee the forces (or wealth) of the Gentiles, and that their kings may be

serve thee shall perish; YES, THOSE NATIONS SHALL BE UTTERLY WASTED." Isaiah 60.

But we must turn from the contemplation of the authority and dominion of the Lord of hosts, and the glory, splendor, and magnificence of Jerusalem, when it shall be the metropolis of his empire—when all the kings, monarchs, emperors, and great men of the earth, shall surrender their authority, power, and wealth, and lay them at the feet of the King of kings and Lord of lords—we must turn, for the present, from this glorious and spirit-stirring theme to the RESTORATION OF ISRAEL, which will be the subject of our next number. J. T. W.

# THE KINGDOM OF GOD.-NO. VI.

## THE RESTORATION OF ISRAEL.

Will Israel ever be restored ? is a question of the first importance in the consideration of this subject. Some deny that they will ever be restored to their own land; but it would be easy to show that the destiny of the world turns upon the truth of this doctrine. We do not, however, design to go very extensively into a discussion of this point. We wish to give the general outlines of the things relating to the "Kingdom of God," in this series of articles; and to fill them up at some future time. The restoration of Israel, alone, would fill a volume. We shall, therefore, content ourselves with the presentation of a few testimonies on the question. We shall accompany the testimony with a few remarks.

1. In the eleventh chapter of Isaiah we have a prediction concerning, first, the birth of the Messiah: "And there shall come forth a rod out of the stem of Jesse, and a branch shall grow out of his roots."

2. We have his character indicated: "And the spirit of the Lord will rest upon him, the spirit of wisdom and understanding, the spirit of counsel and might, the spirit of knowledge and of the fear of the Lord: And will make him of quick understanding in the fear of the Lord: and he will not judge after the sight of his eyes, neither reprove after the hearing of his ears: But with righteousness will he judge the poor, and reprove with equity for the meek of the earth."

3. We have a prediction, which evidently relates to the future, concerning a judgment which he will execute upon the wicked: "And he will smite the earth with the rod of his mouth, and with the breath of his lips will he slay the wicked."

4. We have a prophecy concerning the nature of Messiah's reign: "And righteousness shall be the girdle of his loins, and faithfulness the girdle of his reins. The wolf also shall dwell with the lamb, and the leopard shall lie down with the kid; and the calf, and the young lion, and the fatling together, and a little child shall lead them. And the cow and the bear shall feed; their young ones shall lie down together, and the lion shall eat straw like the ox. And the suckling child shall play on the hole of the asp, and the weaned child shall put his hand on the den of the basilisk. They shall not hurt nor destroy in all my holy mountain: For the earth shall be full of the knowledge of the Lord, as the waters cover the sea."

open continually; they shall not be shut day nor night; that men may bring to thee the forces (or wealth) of the Gentiles, and that their kings may be brought. For the nation and kingdom that will not become fulfilled: "And in that day," the day of Mes-

siah, "there shall be a root of Jesse, which shall stand for an ensign of the people; to it shall the Gentiles seek: and HIS REST SHALL BE GLORIOUS. And it shall come to pass in that day, that the Lord will set his hand AGAIN the SECOND TIME to recover the remnant of his people, which shall be left, from Assyria, and from Egypt, and from Pathros, and from Cush, and from Elam, and from Shinar, and from Hamath, and from the isles of the Sea. And he shall set up an ensign for the nations, and shall assemble THE OUTCASTS OF ISRAEL, and GATHER THE DISPERSED OF JUDAH FROM THE FOUR CORNERS OF THE EARTH. The envy also of Ephraim shall depart, and the adversaries of Judah shall be cut off: Ephraim shall not envy Judah, and Judah shall not distress Ephraim."

This prophecy refers to "the whole house of Israel"—that is, to the twelve tribes—Israel and Judah being included. And they are here dis-tinguished by "the outcasts of Israel," and "the dis-persed of Judah." And they are represented as being carried westward; they, therefore, come from the east-from the north-east of Asia, and those other countries already referred to, to which the ten tribes were carried away captive by Shalmaneser, king of Assyria, and from which they have never yet returned. The Lord, by his great power, will prepare an "highway," for the return of his people, by "utterly destroying the tongue of the Egyptian sea:" "he will shake his hand over the river" of Egypt, and will "smite it in the seven streams" thereof, and "make them go over dry shod, as it was to Israel in the day that he came up from the land of Egypt."

Again, in the 27th chapter of Isaiah, we have this testimony: "And it shall come to pass in *that* day," in the "day the Lord with his keen and great and strong sword will punish leviathan, the piercing serpent," and "slay the dragon that is in the sea". "in that day the Lord shall gather from the channel of the river (Euphrates) to the stream of Egypt, (the Nile,) and you shall be gathered one by one, O YE CHILDREN OF ISRAEL. And it shall come to pass in that day, that the great trumpet shall be blown, and they shall come who were ready to perish in the land of Assyria, and the outcasts in the land of Egypt, and SHALL WORSHIP THE LORD ON THE HOLY MOUNT AT JERUSALEM." Again: "In that day will the Lord of hosts be for a crown of glory, and for a diadem of beauty, to the residue of his people." Ch. 28: 5. Moreover, it is declared, that "Israel shall be saved in the Lord with an everlasting salvation: you shall not be confounded nor ashamed world without END." Ch. 45: 17. This prediction has never yet been fulfilled; for they have been "ashamed" and "confounded," for more than two thousand years. Let us now turn to the testimony of the prophet Jeremiah, ch. 3: 12. "Go and proclaim these words towards the north, and say, Return thou backsliding Israel, saith the Lord; and I will not cause my anger to fall upon you: for I am merciful, saith the Lord, and I will not keep anger for ever. Only acknowledge thy iniquity, that thou has transgressed against the Lord thy God, and hast scattered thy ways to the strangers under every green tree, and you have not obeved my voice, saith the Lord. Turn, O not obeyed my voice, saith the Lord. Turn, O backsliding children, saith the Lord; for I am married to you: and I will take you one of a city, and two of a family, And I will bring you to Zion: And countries whither the Lord has driven them, and I will give you pastors according to my heart, who restored to the land of their fathers—the land pro-shall leed you with knowledge and understanding. mised to Abraham, Isaac, and Jacob, will be mortal

And it shall come to pass, when you shall be multiplied and increased in the land, in those days, saith the Lord, they shall say no more, The ark of the covenant of the Lord: neither shall it come to mind; neither shall they remember it; neither shall they visit it; neither shall that be done any more, AT THAT TIME THEY SHALL CALL JERUSALEM THE THAT TIME THEY SHALL CALL JERUSALEM THE THRONE OF THE LORD; and all nations shall be gathered to it, to the name of the Lord, TO JERUSALEM; neither shall they walk any more after the imagina-tion of an evil heart. In those days the house of Judah shall walk with the house of Israel, and they shall come together from the land of the north to the land that I have given for an INHERITANCE TO YOUR FATHERS.

The hostility which obtained between Judah and Israel will then be removed, and they will worship the Messiah together on the holy mount at Jerusalem.

Again, we have another prediction: "Therefore, behold, the days come, saith the Lord, that it shall no more be said. The Lord liveth that brought the children of Israel out of the land of Egpyt; but, The Lord liveth, that brought the children of Israel from the land of the north, and from all the lands whither he had driven them: and I will bring them again into their land that J gave to their fathers.

Behold, I will send for many fishers, saith the Lord, and they shall fish them; and afterwards will I send for many hunters, and they shall hunt them from every mountain, and from every hill, and out of the holes of the rocks." Jeremiah, 16: 14-16. Once more, the Lord has said: "For lo! the days

come, that I will bring again the captivity of Israel and Judah, saith the Lord: and I will cause them to return to the land that I gave to their fathers, and they shall possess it." Ch. 30:3. And when they are thus restored, the Prophet declares that "they shall serve the Lord their God, and DAVID THEIR KING, whom I will raise up to them." Verses 8, 9.

From the testimony submitted we learn, that Israel and Judah will be restored; and that, when restored to their own land, they shall dwell together in peace; and serve the Lord their God, and the BELOVED, (for such is the English of David,) whom Jehovah will raise up to them.

But, although we have scarcely commenced giving the testimony of the prophets upon this interesting question, we must leave them, and turn our attention to the evidence of the Apostle Paul: In his Epistle to the Romans, he argues the question of the fall of Israel, on account of their unbelieftheir being cut off from their own olive, and the grafting in of the Gentiles. He informs the Romans "that blindness had happened to Israel, until the fulness of the Gentiles shall be come in;" and concludes by asserting that "ALL ISRAEL SHALL BE SAVED;" and then guotes this authority—"As it is written, There shall come out of Sion (or 'to Sion,' as it ought to be rendered) the Deliverer, and shall turn away ungodliness from Jacob." Romans. 11. We are not to understand, however, that every Israclite will be saved; for the apostle says elsewhere, that "a remnant" shall be saved; but that all the twelve tribes are to be the subjects of the salvation spoken of by the Prophets. It will be a national salvation, political and ecclesiastical in its nature.

The twelve tribes, then, gathered out of all the

men and women, organized under the new covenant which Jehovah will give to the house of Israel and the house of Judah. Messiah will be their king; and the twelve apostles will be associated with him in the administration of the affairs of the kingdom of God. These restored Israelites will not "inherit the kingdom," but they will be *subjects*; for the Messiah, according to the Prophets, is "to reign over the house of Israel for ever." How beautiful! how forcible!! and how sublime !!! is the language of Jesus to his apostles, when he said: "Verily I say to you, that ye who have followed me, in the regeneration, WHEN THE SON OF MAN SHALL SIT ON THE THRONE OF HIS GLORY, YOU, ALSO, SHALL SIT UPON TWELVE THRONES, JUDGING THE TWELVE TRIBES OF ISRAEL" Matt. 19: 28.

Here we behold the Messiah, the Prince and Author of life, who was "born that he might be a king," promising to those "who had followed him," that, "in the regeneration"—the grand era of the new creation—the creation of the new earth and heavens—"when the Son of man should SIT UPON THE THRONE OF HIS GLORY," the twelve apostles "should also SIT UPON TWELVE THRONES judging the twelve tribes of Israel!"

And how short-sighted are those who refer the fulfilment of this sublime promise to the "day of Pentecost." For, at that time, the *ten tribes* were far away from the land of their fathers; Jesus was not "sitting on the throne of his glory," but on the "throne of his Father;" and the aposles, so far from sitting on "twelve thrones, judging the twelve tribes of Israel," were, with other disciples, assembled in an "upper room for fear of the Jews." This, then, is all in the future; and it opens up to

This, then, is all in the future; and it opens up to us a scene of glory, grandeur, and sublimity, far transcending the Royal Courts of this perishing state! Alas! what a dreaming world this is! Their eyes are shut to the glory of the future! Their ears are deaf to the voice of inspiration! They are "without hope and without God in the world."

J. T. W.

# END OF THE WICKED.

## "IS ANNIHILATION THE PENALTY OF THE LAW ?"

The editor of the "True Wesleyan," Luther Lee, takes up the above question in his paper of December 25th. The following review of Br. Lee, is by Br. Grew, of this city. Though it may be thought somewhat long, we were unwilling to divide it, and it will well pay for a careful perusal. The late Orange Scott once said to us, "If any body ean answer your argument on the End of the Wicked, Luther Lee can." We bid him welcome to the work, or any one else. We want nothing but the truth; if we have it not, we desire to know where it is.

## REVIEW OF LUTHER LEE.

When my mind was first enlightened to understand, as 1 humbly trust, the great truth that "the wages of sin is DEATH," the main arguments now offered by Mr. Lee, editor of the True Wesleyan, to sustain his views, were carefully examined. They may be found in Edwards' answer to Chauncy. I beg the reader's candid consideration of my reply to these arguments.

## ARGUMENT 1.

"The Scriptures represent the punishment of the wicked as consisting in positive suffering, rather than in merely ceasing to exist. Luke 16:23. 'And in hell he lifted up his eyes, being in torment.' ver. 24. 'I am tormented in this flame.' verse 25. 'Now he is comforted and thou art tormented.' Matt. 18: 34, 35. 'And his Lord was wroth and delivered him to the tormentors till he should pay all that was due unto him. So shall my heavenly Father do also unto you, if you from your hearts forgive not every one his brother.' Rev. 14: 11. 'The smoke of their torment ascendeth up forever.' Matt. 8: 12. 'There shall be weeping and gnashing of teeth.' These Scriptures, with many more which might be quoted, describe the punishment of sin, but they do not describe annihilation,— nor is it possible for what is here described to co-exist with annihilation."

Now I ask, how do these passages prove that "the punishment of the wicked" consists "in positive suffering *rather* than in merely ceasing to exist?" I affirm that these passages do not prove it, because,

1. Not one of them declares this.

2. Not one of them necessarily implies endless suffering. Rev. 14: 11, is not an exception. It is an undeniable truth that the inspired writers often use the terms translated "ever" and "forever and ever," &c., in reference to subjects which have a limited duration. Indeed, the original term aion, properly imports limited duration, age, ages, &c. It is worthy of consideration that terms implying unlimited duration, as aphthartos (immortal, incorruptible,) athanatos (never dying.) akatalutos (indissoluble,) which are applied to the life and felicity of the righteous, are never, in the Scriptures of truth, applied to the life and misery of the wicked. It pertains to those who prefer the words which man's wisdom teacheth to those which the Holy Ghost teacheth, (though they may not intend this) to teach the tradition of immortal we.

If then these passages do not necessarily imply endless suffering, or declare that the suffering, whatever it may be, is the punishment of sin rather than ceasing to exist, how do they prove it ?

I affirm that these passages do not prove it, because.

3. We are to learn what is the chief penalty of the law or wages of sin, from those passages which plainly declare it, rather than from parables, or evenfrom plain threatenings of some of the evil consequences of transgression. The word of the Lord is perfectly plain on this subject. Alas! how has the counsel of the Lord been darkened by words without knowledge. What was the declaration of the Almighty respecting the penalty for transgression when he first gave law to man? Was it thou shalt suffer immortal wo, or endless torments? No "Thou shalt surely DIE," or rather "dying thou shalt die." The same plain truth is repeated. "The soul that sinneth it shall DIE." Again. "The would exhibit the great penal consequence and desert of sin, he uses, not the term suffering or torment, but DEATH. Now I ask, if we affirm that suffering rather than death, (which is a cessation of life or conscious being.) is the wages of sin, do we teach according to the oracles of God?

These passages, which exhibit only a part of the evil consequences of sin, fail to prove that con-

scious suffering, rather than cessation of existence, is the great penalty of the law, because,

4. The terms used by the Spirit of Truth to describe the future and final punishment of the wicked accord with the first great threatening of death to the sinner. These terms are "destruction," "per-dition," "lost," "consumed," "burnt up," "devour-ed," &c. Concerning the import of these terms, we need not doubt, for the destruction threatened is declared to be a "destruction from the presence of the Lord, and from the glory of his power," which fills the universe; and a destruction, not of happiness or well-being merely, but a destruction of "SOUL AND BODY in hell." Matt. 10: 28. Surely the husbandman puts the fire to the chaff which he allows no one to quench, with the design to burnitup and not to preserve it forever. So our Lord plainly teaches that the tares, the children of the wicked one, will be burned up.

These passages, none of which necessarily imply unlimited suffering, cannot prove that cessation of being is not the great penalty of the law of God, because,

Destruction from the presence of the Lord and from the glory of his power, is a punishment of Loss of far greater magnitude, and much more to be dreaded than any limited suffering which man can endure. Suppose a man to suffer a million of ages all that he is capable of suffering, mentally and physically, and then by some dispensation of divine mercy, he should be introduced into the presence of the Lord to enjoy his glory eternally! How triffing would all his sufferings be when compared with the "far more exceeding and eternal weight of glory."

It is said that "these Scriptures-describe the punishment of sin, but they do not describe annihilation." "Annihilation" is an unscriptural term. If by it is meant only an entire destruction of conscious being, or, as our Lord teaches, of "soul and body;" I have no objection to it. As, however, it is used by our opponents, as the basis of a philosophical objection, I do not use it in reference to the subject at issue. I affirm that "these Scriptures" express only a part of the punishment of sin. They do not state what the Scriptures declare the wages of sin to be, nor what God threatened Adam if he sinned. The fact that these particular passages do not describe utter destruction of being, is no more proof against such destruction, than the fact that some passages which describe the future felicity of the righteous, do not affirm its duration, is proof that it is not endless. The silence of one passage of Scripture is not to be adduced to oppose the plain and positive testimony of another.

The actual sufferings described in the passages quoted, cannot indeed "co-exist with annihilation" or conscious being, but this is no proof that they will not end in such destruction.

### MR. LEE'S SECOND OBJECTION.

It is as follows :-- "The Scriptures represent the punishment of the wicked as being inflicted in degrees, proportionate to the different degrees of ill desert on the part of sinners, individually; but an-nihilation admits of no degrees." "If annihilation be the penalty of the law, no man can receive a greater condemnation than annihilation, which the least must receive, who are condemned at all, for it admits of no degrees." To this I reply,

divine justice will be honored by the infliction of various degrees of suffering, antecedent to final destruction from the presence of the Lord, and the glory of his power, is no proof that THE great penalty of the law does not consist in this destruc-tion. This may reasonably be, as in fact we have seen it is, God's great and chief threatening for rebellion although it will be preceded by different degrees of suffering.

2. Although subsequent to utter destruction, sinners of different degrees will be on a level; it is not true that God's manner of destroying sinners "admits of no degrees."

It is no more true than that his supposed act of holding all impenitent sinners in eternal misery admits of no degrees. If God can hold all sinners in eternal misery with different degrees of suffering, he can destroy all sinners with different degrees of suffering. As the first death is attended by various degrees of suffering, so will it be with the second, which will terminate existence forever.

Mr. L. remarks, that "the common reply" to the two arguments above considered, "is, that the penalty of the law is neither suffering nor annihilation, by themselves, but is made up in part of both." To which he replies : "1. It is absurd to suppose that the penalty of the law is two things, and neither. It must be the one or the other, but not 2. This view is wholly unfounded in Scripboth. We venture that not one text can be adduced ture. which refers to any such division in the claims of the law, in the kind of punishment it demands."

I understand Mr. Lee, here to represent that every text which refers to the punishment of sin, refers to punishment of the same kind of one kind only, and that of "positive suffering." The texts he has quoted to prove what the punishment is, all imply "positive suffering." He quoted no text which threatens death, destruction, perdition, &c. I shall now quote 2 Thess. 1: 9, "Who shall be punished with everlasting destruction from the presence of the Lord and from the glory of his power." I affirm that the manifest import of this threatening is a destruction of persons, or, as our Lord teaches "of soul and body." Matt. 10: 28. The passage contains no threatening of any "positive suffering," but what is implied in their destruction. It is a most solemn threatening of the doom of the wicked at the second coming of the Lord Jesus. Whether the doctrine of eternal misery is true or false, this passage plainly teaches that the panishment of sin consists in loss, and not in "positive suffering" only; it consists, certainly in part or in whole, of banishment from the glorious presence of the Lord, whether the destruction be a destruction of "soul and body" or not. If then the scriptural declarations of the manner in which God will punish the wicked teach us what is the penalty of the law as Mr. Lee himself argues, then it follows from 2 Thess. 1: 9, and all the passages which declare that punishment to be death, perdition, destruction, &c. that such destruction, &c., pertains to the penalty of the law.

Whether it is most proper to consider the penalty of the law as consisting in destruction of being only, or in such destruction and different degrees of previous suffering, I ask for the proof that "it is absurd to suppose that the penalty of the law is two things." Is it absurd for a legislature to make admits of no degrees." To this I reply, 1. The fact that the Scriptures represent that imprisonment? He who can believe that it is reasonable and just for "the Father of Mercies" to punish a man for a single sin, and that the least which he can commit, by holding him in never ending torment, is the last person to charge others with absurdities.

Although the loss of being and of the eternal enjoyment of the ever blessed God is a punishment of such magnitude as not to be compared with any antecedent suffering, and consequently is most properly to be considered as THE penalty of the law, it is quite immaterial to the question at issue, whether we consider the penalty of the law to consist in this only, or in this and antecedent positive suffering. The term "penalty of the law," is not scriptural. However, we may argue about it, it is an undeniable fact that the Scriptures of truth plainly teach these two things; 1. that God will punish the finally impenitent with the "positive suf-fering" of many or few stripes, according to the dif-ferent degrees of their criminality; and 2. That he will punish them with evenlasting destruction from "" performing them with eventsting destruction from his presence and glory; with "the second death," "perdition," being "burnt up," "utterly con-sumed," destruction of "both soul and body in hell." So far from this being "absurd," it is pre-cisely the reverse. It would be absurd not to do it. It would be "absurd" for the just God to adopt destruction of being simply, without any different degrees of preceding positive suffering, as his punishment of sinners.

## MR. LEE'S THIRD OBJECTION.

"If annihilation be any part of the penalty of the law, it must be the smallest degree of punishment awarded to any sinner, and of course, all punishment over the smallest degree must consist in suffering, and not in annihilation. Suppose then a man to die after committing his first sin, for that one sin he must be annihilated. Suppose another man to commit ten thousand sins, and die a hundred years old. The punishment of one sin is annihilation, and of course the punishment of the 9999 sins is previous suffering; the one part ending all the rest, must be a blessing and can be no part of a curse. Again, if 9999 parts of the penalty of the law can be met in suffering, we are able to see no good reason why the one additional part could not be met in the same way and supercede the necessity of annihilation."

Let us first apply this reasoning to Mr. Lee's own opinion. "If (eternal misery) be any part of the penalty of the law, it must be the smallest degree of punishment awarded to any sinner, and of course, all punishment over the smallest degree must consist in (greater degree of suffering,) and not (simply in eternal misery.) Suppose, then, a man to die after committing his first sin, for that one sin he must suffer (eternal misery.) Suppose another man to commit ten thousand sins, and die a hundred years old. The punishment of one sin is (eternal misery,) and of course the punishment of the 9999 is (greater degrees of suffering.) Again, if 9999 parts of the penalty of the law can be met in (greater degrees of suffering,) we are able to see no good reason why the one additional part could not be met in the same way, and supercede the necessity of (eternal misery )" (eternal misery.)

This reasoning is more ingenious than solid. If entirely consumed. If the worm dies not, the carfuture punishment is eternal misery, it is the *eternity* which constitutes the greatness of the punishment. go away "into everlasting punishment." As the If it is destruction of being, it is the Loss of the eter-

nal enjoyment of the blessed God which constitutes its greatness. Destruction of being, involving this incalculable loss, must, in itself considered, be, in all circumstances, "a curse," not "a blessing." That it may be a less curse than such endless torments in hell, as some men describe, I freely admit to the honor of the divine character; but the terms less "curse," and "a blessing," can hardly be considered synonymous. Does the law threaten the murderer with "a blessing" because death terminates the agonies of strangulation ?

## MR. LEE'S FOURTH OBJECTION.

"The punishment of the wicked is associated with the punishment of the devil and the fallen angels, which does not appear to be annihilation." Matt. 25: 41. 2 Peter 2: 4. Jude 6. — hark! the devils cry out—' what have we to do with thee, Jesus thou Son of God? art thou come to torment us before the time? It was not annihilation then that they feared," &c.

If our brother had done the devils justice, and hearkened to them a little longer to hear all their testimony on the subject, instead of turning away when he had heard just enough to suit his own theory, he might have received some good instruction by hearing them cry, "art thou come to DEsTROY US?" Matt. 8: 29. Mark 1: 24. By all they said, it is evident that they expect to be tormented in a manner which will issue in their destruction. Be this as it may, the testimony of the Spirit of Truth must not be rejected. "Forasmuch then as the children are partakers of flesh and blood, he also himself likewise took part of the same; that through death he might DESTROY him that had the power of death, that is the devil." Heb. 2: 14.

The reference of Jude to the cities of Sodom and Gomorrah, "suffering the vengeance of" aionion (age, lasting,) fire, is a reference to an example set forth and seen in this present world in the destruction of those cities for their iniquities.

## MR. LEE'S FIFTH OBJECTION.

"The Scriptures describe the fearfulness of the punishment of the wicked, by the character and duration of the suffering which is to constitute such punishment. 'Cast into hell; into the fire that never shall be quenched—where their worm dieth not, and the fire is not quenched; these shall go away into everlasting punishment.'"

away into everlasting punishment."" I affirm that the Scriptures describe the fearfulness of the punishment of the wicked by the terms "death," "second death," destruction of the soul and body, i. e. of conscious being, by coming to an "end;" by perishing, by being utterly consumed, by not entering into life, and by the tremendous consequence of their destruction in the loss of the everduring joys and glories of "Immortality," in "the presence of the Lord," and "the glory of his power." Mark 9: 45, 46, proves the very opposite to that for which it is adduced. Let Scripture explain Scripture. See Matt. 13: 40—"As therefore the tares are gathered and burned in the fire; so shall it be in the end of this world." Christ "will gather his wheat into his garner, and he will BURN UP the chaff with unquenchable fire." If the fire "is not quenched," the tares, or chaff, will be entirely consumed. If the worm dies not, the carcase is wholly devoured. The wicked will indeed go away "into everlasting punishment." As the set of the destruction as the test of the set of the set of the tare of the set of the tare of the set of the tare of the set of the tare of the tare of the tare of the tare of the set of the tare of the tare of the tare of the set of the tare of the tare of the tare of the set of the tare of the tare of the tare of the set of the tare of th everlasting, it necessarily follows that their punish | forever," "perdition," "lost," all express it more ment is everlasting.

# MR. LEE'S LAST ARGUMENT.

"The expressions 'death,' 'shall die,' 'destruction,' 'shall be destroyed,' &c., are all used in other senses than that of annihilation."

That these terms, which, in their proper and literal import, as applied to man, plainly import the cessation of conscious existence, are sometimes used in a secondary or figurative sense, is true. This, however, gives us no authority to set aside their primary and literal import where there is no necessity to do so. If we do so, we make the Scriptures a nose of wax, and can prove any thing we please. God threatens the violator of his law Sin is the transgression of the law. with death. Death is the opposite of life, the cessation of it. "The soul that sinneth, it shall die." "The wages of sin is death." Does the Almighty promulgate the fearful penalty of his law in figurative terms? Does any earthly legislator do this? If the terms death, destruction, destroy soul and body, perdition, burned up, utterly consumed, &c., &c., are to be understood figuratively, who shall determine their import ? If, indeed, the word of the Lord as plainly declared that the wages of sin is immortal wo, or eternal life in misery, as it declares that the wages of sin is death; if it as plainly and positively declared that the soul that sinneth shall live forever in torment, as it does that the soul that sinneth shall die, then indeed we might enquire after some figurative import for the one or the other of these contradictory But when these threatenings of death, passages. destruction, &c., which are so plain that he who runs may read and understand, are not even apparently contradicted by a single passage in the origi-nal Scriptures connecting actual suffering with endless duration, it appears to me a great perversion of scripture to set aside their literal import to twist them into harmony with this horrible doctrine. So far from the justice, or any other perfection, of the Almighty, requiring any greater punishment than is implied in the literal import of these dreadful threatenings, it is an impeachment of those perfec-tions to suppose it. That the entire and everlasting destruction of the sinner from the presence and glory of God, attended with various degrees of torment as justice can apportion antecedent to cessation of being, is an adequate manifestation of the great evil of sin and of the divine displeasure against it, is a proposition which no man can reasonably deny. Mr. L. remarks: "The expression ' cut him asunder' as clearly expresses the act of taking away existence, as any term used; and yet, after they are cut asunder, they receive their portion and weep and gnash their teeth." I reply that our Lord's declaration does not necessarily imply any thing more than that destruction shall be connected with weep ing and gnashing of teeth. If this is denied, we may refer the expression, "cut him asunder," to the first death, which is expressed by the terms "cut off," "cut down," which is perfectly compatible with the destruction of his being, by the second death, in the lake of fire where there will be weeping, wailing, &c. However we may understand the passage, it certainly is not true that the phrase "cut him asunder," " as clearly expresses the act of taking away existence (forever) as any term used." The declaration, "whose END is destruction," and the ings, I think, but those of brotherly love. They can-expressions "everlasting destruction," "destroyed not feel any more confident that we are mistaken,

clearly.

"How futile is it then, to pretend that any or all of" the passages adduced by Mr. Lee, prove that sinners will exist in endless misery, " in the face of so much proof," that "they shall be destroyed for-ever." Ps. 92: 7. HENRY GREW. HENRY GREW.

# ANOTHER LETTER OF CHAS. FITCH'S.

The following extract of a letter was written to the Editor of the Examiner by the late Charles Fitch, a few months before he "fell asleep." We see that Br. J. B. Cook has placed it in the "Appendix" to his work on "The True Source of Immortality;" but, we are sorry he should have omitted to state to whom the letter was addressed. It there appears as if it was written to himself. For the information of those of our readers who may never have heard of Br. Fitch, we would say, he was a holy, able, and faithful minister of the gospel : one who did not shun to declare the whole counsel of God, as he understood it, whatever reproach he might suffer for it. He fell asleep in Jesus, at Buffalo, N.Y., in Sept. or Oct. 1844.

# CLEAVELAND, [Ohio] May 25th, 1844.

DEAR BROTHER STORRS:--- I have received a long letter from Brother Litch, touching the state of the dead, the end of the wicked, &c. It would be exceedingly pleasant to me, to be able to please him, and the dear brethren who agree with him, for I love them all, and would rejoice to concede anything but truth, to be able to harmonize with them in my views. But there is a Friend who has bought me with his blood, and I take more pleasure in pleasing Him, than in pleasing all the world besides. I never preached my present views touching the state of the dead, and the destruction of the wicked, until fully convinced that I could no longer withhold them without displeasing my blessed Lord and Master. Most sincerely and truly can I call God to record upon my soul, that I have never been influenced in this matter except by a full, solemn and irrepressible conviction to Him who died that I might live. I held my peace, most gladly, I can assure you, just as long as I dared to do it; and when I felt that I had no more right to be ashramed of God's truth on one subject than another, I bowed to His will and consented, henceforth, to believe and teach, that when a man is dead, he is dead; and that when a man is cast into a lake of fire and brimstone, and burned up, root and branch, and utterly consumed with terrors, he cannot, after that, be eternally alive. The idea that man is a being made up of parts some of which may be dead, and others alive, at the same time, is to me a pagan superstition, out of nich the Papists have contrived to raise larger times of money for their own vile purposes : nothing moved by the tremendous fact, that they were robbing God of the glory of being alone immortal, and Christ of the power of having immortality to give.

With regard to those brethren who feel called on to contend against the truths that the dead are dead, and that the destruction which awaits the wicked is destruction, and not something else, I have no feelings, I think, but those of brotherly love. They canthan I do that they are; nor can my reasoning on the subject seem to them more futile, frivolous and inconclusive, than theirs does to me. I certainly have not adopted the views I entertain to gain any worldly good, nor can I ever renounce them for such a purpose. Having been led into them by firm conviction, and through the fear of God alone, nothing else can ever lead me back. And I feel as ready to defend the truth on these subjects in one place as in another; and I might as well attempt to suppress my breath, as to suppress these truths when I preach. I know that by the great body of Second Advent be-livers, with whom I am acquainted, they are admitted as truth; though ideas of expediency may induce them to be silent. I have heard a few object, but I feel persuaded that it is in some instances through fear that the promulgation of these truths will be detrimental to the influence of truth, touching the coming of the Lord. With this I have nothing to do. God will take care that the preaching of one truth shall not hinder the influence of another. I have been in Buffalo, Rochester and Cincinnati during the last two months, and I have not shunned to declare the whole counsel of God upon these subjects; nor can I, wherever I may be called to preach. I have no wish to differ from my brethren, but if they ask me to withhold God's truth, on any subject to please them, they ask what I am at no liberty to grant.

God willing, I shall see you at no distant day. My faith in the Lord's appearing is unshaken; and I long for it, more than I can express; and that, irrespective of my own interests. I want my Lord Jesus to wear His crown, and fully to taste the joy set before Him when He "endured the cross, despising the shame;" and I want the martyrs, who have bled for Christ, to live and reign with Him.

Yours, in the glorious hope, CHAS. FITCH.

BIBLE EXAMINER. PHILADELPHIA, APRIL, 1848. ARE T.HE WICKED IMMORTAL! "The soul that sinneth it shall die."—Bible.

PAGANISM IN THE NINETEENTH CENTURY.—We were forcibly struck at noticing the influence the Pagan Fable of an Immortal Soul has upon otherwise intelligent minds, in this age of the world, and under the light of the Bible, by the following editorial scrap in a paper of this city, the day previous to the funeral of John Q. Adams. It is as follows:

"OBSEQUES OF MR. ADAMS.—From the preparation making, it is believed that the funeral honours to the mains of the illustrious ex-President Adams, on the prival in this city to-morrow afternoon, will be of be a character as will reflect credit upon the patriotism of Philadelphia. In view of the hallowed associations, what a beautiful and sublime feature will be the resting of the corpse of this patriot of four-score, for a night in Independence Hall. If the spirits of 'the just made perfect,' are permitted to mingle, invisible to mortal ken, on this earth, what a meeting will that be BETWEEN FATHER AND SON, in the sacred hall where American Liberty first drew its breath!"

The italicising is our own. Here is "the corpse of this patriot in Independence Hall." What next? " If spirits " of the departed signers of the Declaration of Independence, among whom is John Adams, father of him whose "corpse" is to be in the old "Hall," "are to mingle on this earth, what a meeting will that be between father and son, in the sacred hall," &c. It must be indeed a wonderful meeting for a "disembodied, immortal spirit" to come to old Independence Hall to meet A. "CORPSE !!" In the mean time where is the "spirit" of John Q. Adams? Has it had no "meeting" with the "spirit" of his "father ?" And must the "spirit of" his " father " come down to Independence Hall to meet "the corpse of" the son in order to have a wonderful "meeting" !! It is difficult to portray in language sufficiently vivid the folly that the immortal-soul theory leads men into. Truly, "like priest, like people." The Priests of "undying soul"-ism talk about departed spirits hovering over friends left behind; and no wonder political Editors should talk of "what a meeting between" the "spirit of" a "father and " the " corpse " of his " son"! Surely it must be a very wonderful meeting! What a glorious likeness between them ! An immortal spirit and a corpse! What a similarity of feeling they will have! How exactly they will tally together! What a perfect sympathy ! What exquisite philosophy ! "The dead know not anything." Eccl. 9: 5. "If the dead rise not, then they that are fallen asleep in Christ are perished ;" 1 Corth. 15: 16-18.

THE DEATH BY ADAM -That death entered into the world by Adam's sin, we believe is a truth admitted by all Christians. They may, and do, differ about the character of that death; but that it involves the unbuilding of all men, so that they pass under what we all call death, is not disputed. Is this unbuilding of men the penalty of the personal sins of Adam's posterity? Seminally, or in Adam's loins, we "all have sinned," as Levi seminally, in Abram's loins, paid tithes to Melchisedec, [Heb. 7: 9, 10.] Whatever death was the penalty of the Adamic law all his posterity were liable to, whether they personally sinned or not. Hence we see multitudes of little children die who have never personally sinned. The death penalty, then, for Adam's sin, is not the penalty for the personal offences of his posterity : men do not, therefore, receive the penalty of their own sins by the corruption and death flowing from Adam's transgression. But the unchangeable law of God is-""The soul that sinneth it shall die "-and "The wages of sin is death." The Second Adam reverses not the penalty of the Adamic law, but restores again to life, all men, after the penalty has been inflicted. But the continuance in life, after such restoration, is another, and a very different matter; that depends on personal character. Those who, during their personal trial, "receive abundance of grace, and of the gift of righteousness, shall REIGN IN LIFE, by Jesus Christ;" not only live again, but live forever: "die no more-death hath no more dominion over them." But, if men "will not come to" Christ, "that they might have life," while "the accepted time and day of salvation" continues, they die for their personal transgressions; and this death must necessarily be after the restoration from that d a th brought on all men by Adam's sin.

Whether those restored to life will have inflicted upon them "the second death," who have not been "enlightened" by revelation, or by the Gospel of the Kingdom in some age, or dispensation past, till they shall have it presented to their minds and personally reject it, is a point about which Christian men may differ. But it is enough for us to know, that men who have been enlightened, and have rejected the light, from love to sin, or " love darkness rather than light because their deeds are evil," will "be punished with everlasting destruction from the presence of the Lord, and from the glory of his power; when he shall come to be glorified in his holy ones and to be admired in all them that believe IN THAT DAY." That punishment, we learn from the Scriptures, is to die the second time; from this death, which is the wages of personal sins, we have yet to learn where the text is to be found that gives any hope of a resurrection. It is "eternal," "everlasting:" no hope-no recovery. To that death every impenitent sinner is exposed; that death every sinner, who has been called by the truth of God, will experience, except he repent and seeks the remission of his sins, through the "one Mediator." There must be repentance towards God, and a forsaking our personal sins; and the punishment must be remitted, not inflicted, or there is no eternal life in us, nor for us. The punishment of our personal sins never has been borne by another. If it had been, it would be mockery to offer to forgive the debt. What! first demand and receive payment for our debts, and then turn to us and offer to forgive those debts !! After they are paid profess the debts are still due! No, no; Christ paid no man's debts; and he taught us to pray, "forgive us our debts." But if the common notion that Christ paid our debts is true, we should pray, "Lord, thou wouldst not forgive us our debts, and now thy Son has paid them for us : so we will deal with our debtors; somebody shall pay their debts to us."

Christ redeems us from the curse of the Adamic law, and restores all men to life, so that what we lost by Adam we regain by Christ; and, furthermore, he has become our kinsman, redeemer, and the "one Mediator," through and by whom we

of our personal sins, or the remission of the punishment due to us for those sins, and obtain "the gift of God, ETERNAL LIFE," and " not be hurt of the SECOND DEATH."

# PROPHETIC PERIODS.-NO. VI.

"The wise shall understand" is a phrase that has been much used by those who have contended that the definite time of the second advent of our Lord is revealed in the prophetic numbers, in Daniel. That the wise will understand some things, at a given point of time, we have no doubt. That there may be prophetic events, yet future, marked by the commencement of some prophetic period that may give the wise to understand, more definitely than some have supposed, the exact time of the advent, we think, is possible. That any past events connected with prophetic periods reveal that time, by means of those periods, we do not believe, unless the 1260 years of Papacy should be found to do it.

The time has not yet arrived, nor have the events occurred which are to give us the scriptural clue to the time of the advent. Dan. 12: 7, concludes, in our translation, with these words-" When he shall have accomplished to scatter the power of the holy people all these things shall be finished :" The Septuagint reads, as translated by Thompson, John Q. Adam's favorite translation-" When an end is put to THE DISPERSION, they will know all these things." This translation, with present light, we adopt: and think till that "dispersion" of the Jews, "Daniel's people," is ended, vain will be all attempts to arrive at any thing like certainty as to the time of the advent. That dispersion is evidently ending, but is not yet ended. It becomes us to "watch"-to mark the passing events of providence; particularly in relation to that long dispersed people, the Jews. Think of, it as men will, they are now, and will continue to be "a sign " to all who regard the Bible as a revelation from God. The dealings of God with that people reveals the truth of prophecy and revelation with a clearness and conviction that nothing else ever has; and we doubt not they will continue to be a most prominent sign of the coming and reign of Messiah on David's throne. For one, we feel bound, in the name of the Lord, to sound it in the ears of all who hate, despise, or treat with contempt, the literal posterity of Jacob, for who would rob them of the promises made to them, as a people, the awful words of inspiration uttered first by Isaac, Gen. 27: 29-" Cursed be EVERY ONE that curseth thee, and blessed be he that blesseth thee;" and repeated in Numb. 24: 9, at a time when Balak was anxious to have Balaam curse that people; but God said, no. "He couched, he lay down can now approach unto God and receive a pardon as a lion, and as a great lion; who shall stir him up? Blessed is he that blesseth thee, and cursed is he that curseth thee." The nations, churches, or individuals who have treated that people with scorn, and attempted to rob them of the promises God has made to them, may well tremble in view of the awful responsibility they have assumed.

When the "dispersion" has an end put to it, we may expect, very soon, to see events take place so clearly showing themselves as the events predicted, to be connected with the advent, as to remove all doubt from the minds of the watchful and wise, as to the immediate appearing of the Lord of Glory. We are disposed to believe the 1290 and 1335 days. Dan. 12th, are literal days, and belong to future events. Our reason for this is, that the prophecy of Dan. 10th to 12th is a historical prophecy, and not a symbolical one. . It is a literal history of events, giving in detail the great outlines of things future. Hence we are not at liberty to make any part of it symbolical-the time any more than the facts. Besides, the term rendered days, in this chapter, is the same term used in chapter 10: 13, "The prince of the kingdom of Persia withstood me one and twenty days," where no one doubts but that Interal days are intended; and it is not the same term translated days, chapter 8th, "Unto 2300 days," &c. We conclude, therefore, that the 1290 and 1335 days are literal, and fall within the life time of individuals who will live after the "dispersion" is ended: and perhaps relate to the conduct of the "wilful king," chap. 11th, whose manifestation may yet be future. That this king is an individual king we are constrained to believe, for the same reason that we believe the days are literal. What reason, on earth, can be assigned for making all the other kings in this prophecy individual kings, as is manifest they are, and then convert this wilful one into a symbol to represent a system? The prophecy contemplates "a time of trouble" connected with the reign of this wilful king, or at the close, connected with which is the taking away the daily and setting up of the abomination that astonisheth : from that point there are 1290 days of trouble; and at the close of 1335 days those that have been waiting, and have endured, will be "blessed.".

# From the Sunday Dispatch. SIGNS OF THE TIMES.

"THE INGATHERING OF THE JEWS .- The Jewish race, kept distinct from all others, and retaining peculiar characteristics for so many centuries, it seems is about to make a movement toward the repossession of the promised land and the holy city.

"The Rothschilds, the richest family in the world, and the acknowledged financial head of all the tribes of Israel, have bought the whole land of Canaan-at least, they have the refusal of it, at a price within their means, and can close the bargain whenever they choose to do so.

"They are not wanting in power to sustain themselves in this position. They have a sufficient diplomatic influence in every court in Europe, and nothing is wanting but the complete removal of Jewish disabilities in England, to give them all the influence that they require. The liberal views and conduct of the present Pope are favourable to the

great movement, which cannot be far distant. "The land of Israel, which, under this dynasty, would soon extend from the Nile to the Euphrates, would be in the new track of the commerce of the eastern world. The caravans which transported goods from the Mediterranean to the Persian Gulf, or directly into the heart of Asia, were superseded by ships, which sailed around the Cape of Good Hope; but steamboats and railroads will soon bring the commerce of Europe and Asia back to its old channel, and the Jews, occupying the central position of Palestine, will make that country the great mart of trade and finance for the whole eastern world. The east and west will both contribute to the riches of the Jewish nation—merchants will flock there from all parts of the world, and lines of steamers on the Mediterranean, the Red Sea and the Indian Ocean, with railroads connecting Egypt, Persia, Hindostan and China, with the city of Je-rusalem, can scarcely fail to restore it to all the magnificence and splendor it enjoyed in the days of Solomon.

"These views, as any one may see, are by no means chimerical. The facts on which they are based are sufficiently notorious, and there is nothing either impossible or improbable, in these predic-These are the views and expectations extions. pressed by some of the most intelligent Jews in this city, and we see no reason for their concealment."

Since the above appeared in the Dispatch, the following Foreign Intelligence has been received :

THE JEWISH DISABILITY BILL.-The adjourned debate on the bill for removing the civil disabilities of the Jews, was resumed on the 11th inst., by Mr. Pearson, who was followed by Messrs. Cooper, Horseman and Cockburn, in support of the measure, and by Lords Drumlauris and Seymour, and Messrs. Spooner and Banks against. The debate was wound up by a masterly speech from Sir Robert Peel in favour of the bill.

The House then	divi	ded,	when	there	app	eared
For the Bill,	-	-	-	-	•	277
Against the Bill,	-	-	-	-	-	204
Majority for	the:	sec	ond re	ading,		73

Majority for the second reading,

# ANGELS-AGGELLO.

The term "angel" is from "aggelos," "aggello," and signifies "to tell"—"to deliver a message"— "a messenger." The connection and circumstances must determine the nature of the messenger.

# MEN CALLED ANGELS.

When MEN are called angels the term is always indicative of OFFICE as messengers. It is, therefore, when applied to men, an official title. There are numer-ous instances of this title being applied to men. See Rev. 1: 20, and 2: 1, 8, 12, 18, and 3: 1, 7, 14. In most instances, however, our translators have very properly translated the term, "messenger." See Math. 11: 10, " aggelon." See also 2 Corth. 12: 7.

"A thorn in the flesh, the messenger [aggelos] of Satan to buffet me," &c. This messenger was, doubtless, the false teachers of which the apostle speaks in the previous chapters. "His letters, say they, are weighty and powerful, but his bodily presence is weak, and his speech contemptible." At the 13th verse, chapter 11, the apostle says : "Such are false apostles, deceitful workers, transforming themselves into apostles of Christ. And no marvel for Satan himself is transformed into an angel [aggelon] of light, [or puts on the appearance of an angel of light.] Therefore it is no great thing if his ministers also be transformed as the ministers of righteousness." See also Luke 7: 24, and 9: 52, and James 2: 25.

# ANGELS WHO ARE NOT MEN.

Do the Scriptures teach that the term ANGEL is applied to an order of beings who are not, and never were men, that is, of Adam's race? Let us look at Heb. 1: 13, 14: "But to which of the angels said he at any time, sit on my right hand, until I make thine enemies thy footstool? Are they not all ministering spirits, sent forth to minister for them who shall be heirs of salvation?" See also Heb. 2: 16: "For verily he took not on him the nature of angels; but he took on him the seed of Abra-ham." This text, it appears to us, shows conclusively that there is an order of beings who are not and never were descendants of Adam; whose nature Christ took not on him, or, as the margin reads, "took not hold of," consequently they did not belong to the posterity of Adam. One of these angels appeared to Manoah and his wife: see Judges 13th. At first they supposed him to be merely "a man of God," but when he "ascended merely "a man of God;" but when he "ascended in the flame of the altar" then they "fell on their faces to the ground;" and then they "knew that he was an angel of the Lord;" and "Manoab said unto his wife, We shall surely die, because we have seen God." Observe here, that to see an angel, or the angel of the Lord, is said to be seeing God. "True," says one, "because 'the angel of the Lord' is Christ, and he is God." A strange conclusion truly, that God is his own angel!!

Angels, as an order of beings, are one in nature, but various in rank.

## IN NATURE, IS MESSIAH AN ANGEL?

Is the Lord Jesus Christ an angel, IN NATURE, of any order or rank of angels? Let us look at Heb. 1: 4-8, "Being made so much better than the angels, as he hath by inheritance obtained a more excellent name than they. For unto which of the angels said he at any time, Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee? And again, I will be to him a Father, and he shall be to me a Son? And again, when he bringeth in the first begotten into the world, he saith, And let all the angels of God worship him. And of the angels he saith, Who maketh his angels spirits, and his ministers a flame of fire. But unto the Son, he saith, Thy throne, O God, is for ever and ever : a sceptre of righteousness is the sceptre of thy kingdom."

Here, if we do not mistake, the apostle affirms that God never said to an angel, of any order, "Thou art my Son;" again, he declares that the Son is "much better than the angels;" and again, " Let all the angels of God worship him :" all of which expressions show that he, himself, is not an angel in nature. In the 13th verse the apostle uses this language-"To which of the angels said he at any understanding sufficient to manage the affairs of this

time, Sit on my right hand, until I make thine ene-mies thy footstool?" That is, God never said this to an angel; therefore, the Son of God is not an angel, for God did say this to his Son.

Again, chapter 2, verse 5, Paul says-" Unto the angels hath he not put in subjection the world [age, or dispensation] to come, whereof we speak ;" and verse 8, he says—" For in that he put all in subjection under him : but now we see not yet all things put under him : but we see Jesus, who was made a little lower than the angels," &c.

Here, if we mistake not, we have the fact stated that he who is made of God the head of "the world [or age] to come," is not an angel; and that though he was higher and better than the angels, yet, to. prepare him to be the head and "father of the everlasting age," he was made, for a while, "a little lower than the angels;" but now having "suffered death," he is to be "crowned with glory and honour." The 16th verse, already quoted, expressly declares, that "he took not on him the nature of angels; which language could have little force if he were in nature an angel of any order.

Once more : Our Lord, himself, when speaking of certain events to take place, Mark 13: 32, says-"Of that day and hour knoweth no man, no, not the angels which are in heaven, neither the Son," &c. Here he clearly distinguishes himself from the angels; and the form of expression shows that he places himself in a higher scale than the angels, and superior to them. These texts are sufficient to show that our Lord is not, and never was an angel in his nature: therefore he is not "The angel of the Lord" spoken of in the Scriptures. That angel is evidently one in nature, and a distinct being from our Lord. In proof of this see Lk. 2: 9-11. Here it is expressly said—"The angel of the Lord" proclaimed to the shepherds, saying—"Behold I bring you good tidings-for unto you is born this day a Savior, which is Christ the Lord." Here the distinction between "the angel of the Lord," and our Lord Jesus Christ is demonstrated; also, in Matt. 2: 13, the distinction is equally marked—"The angel of the Lord appeared to Joseph in a dream, saying, arise and take the young child [Jesus] and his mother and flee into Egypt," &c. The same distinction is observed in Matt. 28: 2. "The angel of the Lord descended from heaven, and came and rolled back the stone from the door [of Jesus' sepulchre] and sat upon it," &c. At the 5th verse, it is said, this "angel answered and said unto the women, [who came to the sepulchre,] Fear not ye: for I know that ye seek Jesus—he is not here," &c. But, "the angel of the Lord" was there, and spake to the women : therefore, Jesus is not "the angel of the Lord."

### MINISTRATION OF ANGELS.

Such being the fact, it seems clear that the common notion on this subject is an error; and it has led to many other errors. It has made men lose sight of the ministration of angels. They seem to suppose that whatever is done on earth, God or His Son must do personally; thus stripping them of the glory they would give to any earthly king or governor; that of saying to one go, and he goeth; and to his servant do this, and he doeth it. No, they make the Almighty and His Son Jesus Christ to serve personally, as though God were incapable of constituting an order of beings with power, or

province of his dominions, [this globe,] in this age or dispensation. The Scriptures teach us that God has, and does now, in this age, manage the affairs of this world, directly by the ministration of angels. Let us look at a few texts. Gal. 3: 19, "Where-fore then serveth the law? It was added because of transgressions, till the seed should come to whom the promise was made: and it was ordained by angels in the hand of a mediator." Here the apostle assures us that "the law" itself was "ordained," i. e. introduced, or given "by angels." We shall be further satisfied of this by turning to Acts 7: 53, where "Stephen, full of the Holy Spirit," says of the people of Israel, "Who have received the law by the disposition [or ministration] of *angels*, and have not kept it." Compare this again with Heb. 2: 2-"For if the word spoken by angels was steadfast, and every transgression and disobedience received a just recompense of reward; how shall we escape if we neglect so great salvation; which at the first began to be spoken by the Lord" Jesus, &c. Here the apostle makes a clear distinction between Christ and angels: the angels communicating the law, with all it awful sanctions, and our Lord Jesus Christ bringing in the Gospel, with all its glorious mercy.

Stephen further informs us, Acts 7: 38, speaking of Moses, he says, "This is he, that was in the church [congregation] in the wilderness, with the angel which spake to him in mount Sinai, and with our fathers; who received the lively oracles to give unto us," &c. Here we have the same truth as repeated by Paul and reiterated by Stephen, at the 58th verse, that the law was given by the ministration of angels, a principal one among them being the chief speaker: that angel being the one who had special charge of the posterity of Jacob, and acted as Jehovah's agent in all that related to that people; and still he was but an angel and not Jehovah himself, nor his Son, acting personally. Even the Son of God himself, while here upon earth, was ministered unto by angels: see Mat. 4: 6, 11; Lk. 22: 43, "And there appeared an angel unto him from heaven, strengthening him." If then our Lord himself was ministered unto by angels, shall we think it strange if God has ever employed angels in making communications to men, and in managing the affairs of the world? The present world and its affairs are managed by the ministration of angels, so to speak, as agents— officers—"princes," &c.; but the next age, or "world to come," is to be under the direct and personal agency of the Son of God : Heb. 2:5. Some of the angels have the name of God upon them: thus, Gabriel signifies, "Strengh of God," or "My strong God," &c., Michael signifies, "Who is like God." This leads us to an important inquiry, viz:

# IS MICHAEL THE LORD JESUS CHRIST ?

Let the Scriptures settle this question, and not our fancies, nor the "traditions" of men. First, then, We have shown that in nature our Lord is not an angel of any order ; but "The Son of God," and "better," or superior to angels. Michael is an angel in nature, and of the highest order of angels : see Jude 9. "Yet Michael the archangel, when contending with the devil he disputed about the body of Moses, durst not bring against him a railing accusation, but said, The Lord rebuke thee." It may be proper that we remark upon this text before pro-

"the body of Moses?" The same, we think, as Paul meant by the body of Christ. See Col. 1: 24, "Christ—for his body's sake, which is the *church*." Also 1 Cor. 12: 13, 27; "For by one Spirit we are all baptized into one body—now ye are the body of Christ," &c. The body of Christ here, is the church of Christ. Is not "the body of Moses" the church, or congregation of Moses?

Did Jude refer to anything written in the Scriptures, or are we left to mere conjecture as to what he means? We think he clearly had reference to things "noted in the Scriptures" Let us turn to Zech. 3: 1. 2: "He showed me Joshua the high priest standing before the angel of the Lord, [the angel that communicated to Zechariah in the previous chapters] and Satan standing at his right hand to resist him. And the Lord said unto Satan, [by the mouth of the angel,] The Lord rebuke thee O, Satan." Here is the very language quoted by Jude. What was the "resistance" or "dispute" about? The angel adds-" Even the Lord that hath chosen Jerusalem rebuke thee : is not this a brand plucked out of the fire ?" We see then what the dispute was about, of which Jude speaks, and which he calls "the body of Moses." It was in reference to Jerusalem and the Jews, or the congregation of Moses. The angel of the Lord engaged in this controversy with Satan, Jude tells us, is "Michael;" and that he is the arch, or chief angel: the first of the order of beings called angels. "Joshua" may be considered the representative of the posterity of Jacob. He and his "fellows" [verse 8,] are declared to be "men wondered at;" that is, men who are signs, or types. They appear to be types of the nation. "Joshua was clothed with filthy garments ;" emblematical of the polluted state of the nation for a long period; but those garments were taken away and he was clothed with a change of raiment, so, the Lord said, verse 9, "I will remove the iniquity of that land in one day." "Satan," signifies adversary; and in the text may be considered the type of all the enemies of the restoration of Jacob, or "the body of Moses," and of Jerusalem being "plucked out of the fire."

We will now examine Dan. 10:13. "Michael one of the chief princes came to help me." The question arises here who spoke these words ? The assumption that it was Gabriel, and that he spake them of Christ, we consider, stands on a very weak foundation. That Christ appeared to Daniel, and is described by him, verses 5 and 6, none, we presume doubts, as the description corresponds exactly with that of John's, Rev. 1: 13-15, where we know our Lord was the person described. Daniel tells us, verse 9, "I heard the voice of his words"-"and [verse 10] behold a hand touched me"—" and [verse 11] he said unto me," &c. Now, we desire to know, where is the authority for saying that the glorious personage, whom Daniel saw, was not the same whose "hand touched" him, and who spoke to him? We confess we see no authority to disprove it; nor, with our present light, do we believe there is any. If then this glorious person was Jesus he certainly was not Michael; for he says-"The prince of the kingdom of Persia withstood me one and twenty days; but lo, Michael one of the chief princes came to help me," &c. But, says one, What could Christ want of the help of an angel? We might ask in reply-What does God want of the help of men? Yet, we find the following strong ceeding further. What are we to understand by language used in Judges 5: 23, "Curse ye Meroz,

(said the angel of the Lord,) curse ye bitterly the inhabitants thereof; because they came not to the help of the Lord, to the help of the Lord against the mighty." God and his Christ both use agents to "help" them, because it pleases God to work by their instrumentality. Michael, therefore, came to help Christ in a matter that related to Daniel's people; which people were emphatically the na-tion over whom this angel had special charge: and hence called [verse 21st,] "Michael your prince."

The careful reader will observe that this vision, Dan. 10th, was in the third year of Cyrus. That king gave commandment, in the first year of his reign, [see Ezra 1:1-4] to restore the people, and to rebuild Jerusalem, according to the prophecy in Isa. 44: 28, "That saith of Cyrus, He is my shepherd, and shall perform all my pleasure; even saying to Jerusalem, Thou shall be built; and to the temple, Thy foundation shall be laid." Under that decree the restoration of the people commenced, [see Ezrá 2d] and the foundation of the temple was laid in the second year of Cyrus: [see Ezra 3:8, 10, 11.] Then the enemies of the Jews set themselves to hinder the work. The Jews answered their enemies by telling them that what they did was by the command of Cyrus king of Persia: "then the people of the land hired counsellors against them," &c: [see Ezra 4: 1-3] "In the third year of Cyrus" the news of this opposition would reach Daniel, in Persia; and this causes him to "fast three full weeks," Dan. 10:2, 3. At the close of this fast he had the vision afterwards recorded : and the glorious personage he saw informs him [verse 13] why he had not sooner come to him: "The prince of the kingdom of Persia"-the presiding angel there, or Cyrus himself-" withstood " his influence in behalf of the Jews "one and twenty days," or during the period of Daniel's fast, till "Michael one of the chief princes," or the angel having special charge of the Jewish nation, "came to help" him; and he [who was speaking] "re-mained there with the kings of Persia" that "twenty-one days," and then came to Daniel to make known to him what should "befall thy peo-ple in the latter days." If we have taken a correct view of this matter, here is strong evidence against the common idea that Michael is Christ. If our Lord is ever called an angel, it must be in regard to office; but Michael, as we have seen, is an angel in nature, and of that order of beings, and "one of the chief" or first among them.

We think it has already been made to appear that God manages the affairs of this world by the ministration of angels. May it not be true then that certain angels have special charge, not only of the "heirs of salvation" in particular, but of particular families, cities, states, kingdoms, and people? Our Lord taught that the persons who are accounted worthy to attain the world to come, and the resurrection from the dead, shall be equal unto the angels. He also taught his followers that they were to have authority over certain cities and people : see Math. 19: 28, "And Jesus said unto them, Verily I say unto you, That ye which have followed me in the regeneration, when the Son of man shall sit in the 20:6, we are taught that those who have part in alive after that "time of trouble." The angel who

the first resurrection shall be kings, &c., and reign with Christ the thousand years.

## MICHAEL'S SPECIAL CHARGE.

If these things are so, may it not be true, as already intimated, that different ranks of angels have authority, or charge, over certain people, nations, and communities, as well as over particular individuals? We have seen the truth clearly stated they do, by comparing Gal 3: 19, with Acts 7: 53, 38, and Heb. 2: 2. Then, as the Jews were the nation first or highest in the favour of God, as the Scriptures abundantly testify, the angel who has the special oversight of that nation would be one of the first of the chief princes, or the archangel, and is declared to be Michael. He it was who had special charge of that people; and when they were trodden under foot Michael is represented as not standing up for them; but when the time comes for their deliverance, and the deliverance of their city, from the treading under foot by the Gentiles, Michael is represented as standing up for their benefit, as in Daniel 12: 1. Here the children of Daniel's people are to be delivered, every one that is found written in the book: or, "the remnant" that escape the awful judgments of that "time of trouble." But, who are "Daniel's people?" This question, it

seems to us, can have but one answer to an unbiased mind. Look at the context : commence with chap. 9:15. "O Lord our God that hast brought thy people out of the land of Egypt," &c. Can any doubt what people that was? Again, verse 16, "For our sins-Jerusalem and thy people are beverse 19, "For thy city and thy people are called by thy name." Verse 20, Daniel says: "While I was—confessing the sin of my people Israel," &c. What people is this? Can there be a doubt but he Then Gabriel tells Daniel, verse 24, "Seventy weeks are determined upon thy people," &c. Here we clearly have the same people-the Jews. The glorious personage that speaks to Daniel, chap. 10, verse 14, says-" I am come to make thee understand what shall befall thy people in the latter days," Where is any authority for changing to another &c. people, here, from that spoken of previously? We think there is none at all. The same glorious personage, in chap. 11, tells Daniel, verse 14,—"The robbers of thy people shall exalt themselves," &c. Same people still, viz : the Jews, posterity of Jacob. and children of the fathers whom God brought up "out of Egypt:" chap. 9: 15. In only one verse more does the phrase occur in this prophecy ; and in that verse we are bound to apply it to the same posterity of Jacob, unless we can show a plain and scriptural reason for departing from the uniform application of the phrase to that people. No such reason, we believe exists; and therefore we feel bound to follow the sense already given to the expression by the three witnesses who have before spoken on the subject, viz: Daniel, Gabriel, and Christ. In the mouth of such witnesses, we should suppose, the most incredulous would be satisfied. that Daniel's people are none others than Jacob's literal descendants. At a given point of time throne of his glory, ye also shall sit upon twelve thrones, judging the twelve tribes of Israel." See also Luke 19: 15—19. Here he assures the faith-ful they shall have "authority over cifies." In Rev. has had that people under his special charge, and who did not utterly forsake them, [see Lev. 26: 44,] while they and their city were "trolden down of the Gentiles," now, when "the times of the Gentiles [treading them under foot] is fulfilled," stands up for their deliverance, and they are delivered. At the same time, or in the vicinity of that time, there is to be a resurrection, and the saints, made immortal, take the kingdom under the whole heaven: a new age, or dispensation opens, elsewhere spoken of as of a thousand years continuance.

# SELECTED.

# THE SON OF GOD.-NO II.

AN EXAMINATION OF THE DIVINE TESTIMONY CON-CERNING THE ORIGIN OF THE HIGHEST AND MOST GLORIOUS CHARACTER OF THE SON OF GOD.

The Testimony of the Prophets and Apostles. Col. 1: 15-19, Who is the image of the invisible God, the first-born of every creature : for by him were all things created, &c. ; for it pleased the Father that in him should all fulness dwell. Heb. I chap., God hath in these last days spoken to us by his Son, whom he hath appointed heir of all things, by whom also HE made the worlds, who being the brightness of his glory, and the express image of his person, and upholding all things by the word of his power, &c., being made so much better than the angels, &c .-And again, when he bringeth the first begotten into the world, he saith, and let all the angels of God worship him. Unto the Son he saith, thy throne, O God, is for ever and ever; a sceptre of righteous-ness is the sceptre of thy kingdom. Thou hast loved righteousness, and hated iniquity; therefore God, thy God, hath anointed thee with the oil of gladness above thy fellows. John 1: 14, And the word was made flesh, and dwelt among us, and we beheld his glory the glory as of the only begotten of the Father, full of grace and truth. Rev. 19: 13, His name is called the Word of God. John 3: 31, 32, He that cometh from heaven is above all. And what he hath seen and heard that he testifieth. 34, For he whom God hath sent speaketh the words of God: For God giveth not the spirit by measure unto him. 35, The Father loveth the Son, and hath given all things into his hand. 1 Cor. 11: 3, The head of Christ is God. Rev. 1: 1, The Revelation of Jesus Christ, which God gave unto him. Acts 2: 22, Jesus of Nazareth, a man approved of God among you by miracles, and wonders, and signs, which God did by him, &c. Acts 10: 38, God anointed Jesus of Nazareth with the Holy Ghost, and with power; who went about doing good, &c.: for God was with him. 1 Peter 1: 21, God that raised him up from the dead, and gave him glory. Rom. 2: 26, God shall judge the secrets of men By Jesus Christ. Acts 10: 42, Ordained of God to be the Judge of quick and dead .- Acts 17:31

## 2. The testimony of Jesus Christ.

Rev. 3: 14, These things saith the Amen, the faithful and true Witness, the beginning of the creation of God. John 6: 57, I live by the Father. 5: 26, For as the Father hath life in himself, so hath he given to the Son to have life in himself, and hath given him authority to execute judgment, &c. Matt. 11: 27, All things are delivered unto me of my Father. 28: 18, All power is given unto me in heaven and in earth. John 17: 2, As thou hast given him

power over all flesh that he should give eternal life to as many as thou hast given him. John 10:18, I have power to lay it down; and [ have power to take it again. This commandment have I received of my Father. John 5: 19, Verily, verily, I say unto you, the Son can do nothing of himself. John 14: 10, The Father, that dwelleth in me. he doeth the works. John 5: 22, for the Father judgeth no man; but hath committed all judgment unto the Son; That all men should honor the Son, even as they honor the Father. John 17: 24, Father, I will that they also whom thou hast given me be with me where I am : that they may behold my glory which thou hast given me; For thou lovedst me before the foundation of the world. John 17: 5, And now, O Father, glorify thou me with thine own self, with the glory which I had with thee before the world was. John 8: 26, He that sent me is true; and I speak to the world those things which I have heard of him. John 12: 49, For I have not spoken of myself; but the Father which sent me, he gave me a commandment what I should say, and what I should speak. John 7: 16, My doctrine is not mine, but his that sent me. John 6: 38, For I came down from heaven, not to do mine own will, but the will of him that sent me. Luke 22: 29, And I appoint unto you a kingdom, as my Father hath appointed unto me. Matt. 12: 28, but if I cast out devils by the Spirit of God, &c.

3. Testimony of God the Father.

Ps. 89: 19, Then thou spakest in vision to thy holy one, and saidst, I have laid help upon one that is mighty. 25, I will set his hand also in the sea, and his right hand in the rivers. 26, He shall cry unto me, Thou art my Father, my God, and the rock of my salvation. 27, Also I will make him my first born, higher than the kings of the earth. Ps. 2: 7, Thou art my son: this day have I begotten thee : Isa. 42: 1, 6, Behold my servant, whom 1 uphold, mine elect, in whom my soul delighteth: I have put my Spirit upon him. I the Lord have called thee in righteousness, and will hold thine hand, and will keep thee, and give thee for a covenant to the people, for a light to the Gentiles. Heb. 1:6,9, And again, when he bringeth in the first begotten into the world, he saith, and let all the angels of God worship him.-Unto the Son he saith, Thy throne, O God, is for ever and ever; a sceptre of righteousness is the sceptre of thy kingdom. Thou Thou hast loved righteousness, and hated iniquity ; therefore God, even thy God, hath anointed thee with the

oil of gladness above thy fellows. Guided by the light of heavenly truth, let us now proceed to the consideration of the following important and highly interesting question. Is Jesus Christ called God, is he worshipped, has he created the universe, is he preserver and Saviour and King and Judge, as the self-existent, independent, omnipotent, and only true God: or, as the Son of God, begotten, upheld, exalted and glorified by the Father ?

Revelation alone must answer this question. Let no conclusion of imperfect reason, no false inferences of our erring minds, no prejudice in favor of our long cherished systems, prevent our receiving the divine testimony on this subject.

Let us first consider in what sense the Scriptures of truth apply the title of God, to Jesus Christ.

given him authority to execute judgment, &c. Matt. 11:27, All things are delivered unto me of my Father. 28:18, All power is given unto me in heaven and in earth. John 17:2, As thou hast given him John 10:35. From Heb. 1:8, it is evident that it | and came to the Ancient of Days, and they brought is as the begotten Son, that he is called God. "Unto the Son he saith, thy throne, O God," &c. And in the very next verse, and in immediate connexion, the same person who is called God, is plainly represented as having a God. "Therefore God, even thy God," &c. See also John 20: 17: Rev. 3: 12. Here, then, the Bible, which is its own best interpreter, plainly teaches us that he is not called God in the highest sense; for the supreme Deity can neither be begotten nor have a God.

John 1: 1, In this passage of divine truth, it is declared that the Word, who is called God, "was with God." Here also the Holy Scriptures teach us, that in whatever sense the Word is called God, he is a distinct being from the supreme God, otherwise he could not be said to be with God Now as it is no where expressly revealed that the Word or Son is "the same numerical essence," or the same being as the Father, how can the passage bear such a construction ? We have Bible authority for saving, that the term God is sometimes used in an inferior or figurative sense; but have we any authority from Scripture or reason for saying, that the supreme God was with the supreme God? "To what class of men could John address the asseveration," that the supreme God was with himself? "Where did these singular heretics suppose" the supreme God was, except with himself? Is there any intelligible idea in the proposition, that the same numerical essence was with the same numerical essence? Or can we conceive that one supreme God was with another supreme God ?

The Scriptures of truth afford more light on this important text In John 17: 5, our dear Redeemer prays, "And now, O Father, glorify thou me with thine own self, with the glory which I had with thee before the world was." Here it is just as evident that the glory of the Word cannot be the glory of the supreme Deity, as that supreme Deity cannot be a subject of prayer. This cannot be considered the prayer of his "human nature," for that nature, so far from enjoying glory "before the world was," did not then exist. Our Saviour evidently refers to the state of which John wrote, John 1: 1. The same nature prays as then existed, to be restored to the same glorious condition. Does not this conclusively prove that the Son is dependent on the Father, for the highest glory he possesses?

Rev. 19: 13, Here we are instructed that the Word is "the Word of God." Is it not the design of the Spirit of truth to teach us, by this term, that the Son is the medium of communication or manifestation of all the glory of God, (in creation, providence and iedemption,) to his intelligent creatures? "No man hath seen God at any time; the only begotten Son, which is in the bosom of the Father, he hath declared him." "He that hath seen me hath seen the Father."

Rom. 9: 5, Christ-who is over all, God blessed for ever. Amen. Here our precious Redeemer is again called God, over all God, which is expressive of his high authority and dominion. Compare this passage with Ps. 45: 6, where Jesus is called God on the throne of the kingdom. In the view of this glorious character, believers love and adore him. -But whether he is possessed of this dominion, of in dependent right, or by the pleasure and appointment of the Father, the Scriptures must determine. Dan. 7: 13, 14, I saw in the night-visions, and, behold, one like the Son of man came with the clouds of heaven, | nion of the WOMEN."

him near before him. And there was given him dominion, and glory, and a kingdom, that all people, nations, and languages should serve him. His dominion is an everlasting dominion, which shall not pass away, and his kingdom that which shall not be destroyed. Matt. 28: 18, All power is given unto me in heaven and in earth. John 17: 2. As thou hast given him power over all flesh, &c. Luke 22: 29, I appoint unto you a kingdom as my Father hath appointed unto me. Ps. 2:6, 8, Yet have I set my King upon my holy hill of Zion. Ask of me and I shall give thee the heathen for thine inheritance, &c. Acts 5: 31, Him hath God exalted with his right hand to be a Prince and a Saviour, for to give repentance to Israel and forgiveness of sins. Here we are taught that Jesus Christ executes the high offices of Prince and Saviour; that he gives repentance and forgives sin, all by the power and appointment of the Father.

To be over all, and to have all things under him, are synonymous expressions. "But when he saith all things are put under him, it is manifest that he is excepted which did put all things under him."l Cor. 15: 27, He is therefore dependent on another being, even the Father, for having all things under him, or being "over all." HENRY GREW.

# JOHN QUINCY ADAMS.

Since the last Examiner went to press this truly wonderful man has fallen asleep; and one of the greatest ornaments of the age now slumbers in the dust of the earth. We have seen nothing concerning this great and truly good man that has interested us more than the following account of "two visits" to his mansion, last fall, by E. Chadwick, Principal of Starkey Seminary. We have no doubt it will interest all our readers. We copy from "The Christian Palladium," Albany, N. Y.

It was the writer's privilege, a short time since, to hold a religious conversation with the venerable sage, John Quincy Adams: and I now send you a brief outline of his interesting remarks. Trembling with years and in feeble health, Mr. A.'s example in frequenting the house of God, and in the diligent perusal of the Scriptures, is worthy of all imitation.

My first visit to the venerable patriot was on a pleasant morning in August, in company with a spirited Teacher's Convention, numbering about one hundred gentlemen and ladies, from various states, who went in a body to pay their respects to Mr. A. He gave us a simple, hearty welcome to his mansion, the same plain, ancient, two-story house, which was occupied by his distinguished father.

The feeble old man, in plain dress, meets us at the door, shakes hands with all, invited us into his spacious, but now crowded parlour, kindly says he is glad to see us, wishes he had seats for us all to sit down,—is "very happy to see so many gentle-men, and especially happy to see so many LADIES. who are engaged in the good work of instructing the children of the country " And he playfully added, with a smile, "gentlemen, I have always found it pleasant to have the good opinion of the men, but still more gratifying to have the good opi-

After a few moments spent in conversation, singing, looking at the busts and portraits of Washington, and other worthies, adorning the entry and parlors, we partook of a refreshing draught of pure COLD WATER-the simple beverage which has given him strength for so many years, and commending him, in our hearts, to God, we took our leave, thankful for the privilege we had enjoyed.

I spent the succeeding Sabbath at Quincy and observed that this fervent octogenarian, with a small and feeble frame, walked twice to meeting. His house stands about a Sabbath-day's journey from the synagogue. He walked without a cane, looked out all the hymns, without glasses, and stood during all the singing, and during all the prayers. So con-stant is he, that his neighbours remark, "If the President is not at meeting, when in town, we know he must be sick." His father, John Adams, belonged to the same church-that planted by the Pilgrims-and he was equally punctual. Mr. Charles Francis Adams, son of J. Q. A., and originator of the popular law for protecting fugitive slaves, is also a communicant of the same church, and seems to be walking in the same steps. Rare spectacle! three generations of illustrious men, walking in the same moral, "steady habits." All witnessed by the same town and the same church!

The day was unpleasant, and yet the large church was well filled, both forenoon and afternoon. Doubtless the punctuality is greatly owing to the example of such leading men.

Second Visit .- Mr. Adams keeps (apparently) no servants. He delights not to be ministered unto, but to minister. Call at his house, and you find he is himself as one that serves. Ring or knock, and he comes himself to the door, extends his hand, and without the least palaver, conducts you to a chair in the sitting room, or parlor, and treats the humblest caller as an equal. Being thus seated by him, after he had kindly made some remarks upon his health, &c., I observed to him that he was reputed to be a diligent reader of the Bible, but that, whilst his other opinions had been made so public, I had seen very little notice of his religious sentiments-the result of his long continued Biblereading. I added, that if I was not indulging an unreasonable curiosity, and proposing an unwel-come request, I should be gratified to know what opinions he had formed upon a few points, particularily upon the character of Christ, and the Holy Spirit.

He replied, "I have never obtruded my religious views upon others; but I have no views to con-ceal." He said, "My practice, since I was thirty years of age, has been to read in the Bible, the first thing I do, every morning. [He has been always a very early riser.] "This practice I have followed with but few interruptions [for fifty years.] The versions which I have read, are (1) our common English Bible; (2) Thompson's translation of the Septuagint, a very literal translation, (he re-marked); (3) the Latin Vulgate; (4) Calvin's Translation in French; (5) the Catholic translation in Freuch; (6) Luther's translation in German; (7) the New Testament in Greek." Upon naming each of the above versions, he made interesting remarks on their character, and the slight discrepencies between them; spoke of the different chronology of the Septuagint, &c.

He continued, "These are the versions I have used. My habit has been, to read each morning, | MERRIUEW & THOMPSON, PRINTERS, 7 CARTER'S ALLEY.

two chapters in one of these, and then the same in one of the others, comparing them together. In this way I have read them all through twice or more. Commentaries I have read not much, controversies not much. But I have read the Bible." This last sentence he repeated with emphasis. He not only "reads the Bible," but endeavors to understand it; receives it as a revelation from God, and believes it. He compares, not only Scripture with Scripture, but version with version, Protestant with Catholic, ancient with modern

Thus he has " read the Bible." What views, on the great theme of revelation, has it given him ?

Deity of three persons. Nor do I find Christ to be the Supreme God." Says he does not conceive of the Father as strictly a person, but a vast Being, incomprehensible and glorious, far transcending all our thoughts of **a** person. He is revealed as our Creator, &c., referring to such passages of Scripture as Ps. 19; 1, "The heavens declare the glory of God, and the firmament showeth his handy work."

He mentioned the three angels who came to Abraham's tent. Said he had no idea that they were the three persons of the Godhead ! I remarked that I apprehended the word "person," was used by many in the sense of the Latin word "persona," from which comes our word "personate." But with great readiness, Mr. Adams replied that he "did not let the Catholics off so :" that their word " persona" meant "person"-a human being, or one like a human being. In proof of this he referred to the use of the word in Latin plays, by Terrence, Plautus, &c.; and quoted from Cicero's letters: "Contra ejus personam multa fecit,"-"did many things against his person."

Mr. A. believes strongly in the pre-existence of Christ. Says he was certainly with the Father before men were made, and before the world was. If not Paul was mistaken !

He thinks "the Spirit is pure spirit." God, in , love, moves upon the hearts of his children. The means or influence by which He does it is called his Spirit.

Mr. A. evidently has thought much on these great subjects. He has thought for himself. He is strongly opposed to "creeds." Says, that although an Arian, he can subscribe to no human creed, whether Arian, Athanasian, Socinian, Unita-rian, or Trinitarian. He takes the *Bible* for his creed, and tries to believe that. Says there is more in that than he can comprehend; trusts he shall know more hereafter; speaks like one who now sees through a glass darkly and dimly, but earnestly desires more clear and glorious light; trusts and believes that it is in reserve for him. On the verge of the grave, he is evidently sincere and earnest. No one could listen to him, without being impressed that he is honest. He laments his littleness of knowledge; confesses it; but longs to know more of God and of heaven.

Amid all his busy cares in life, he has studied God's word in many languages; has read it through in Latin, French and German, more times than most persons have in English; and has himself made an entire metrical version of the Psalms! God be thanked for the strength that word has given to his servant, making him the fearless champion of Truth and of Right.